Computing Pathways in Bio-Models Derived from Bio-Science Text Sources
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Abstract. This paper outlines a system, ONTOSCAPE, serving to accomplish complex inference tasks on knowledge bases and bio-models derived from life-science text corpora. The system applies so-called natural logic, a form of logic which is readable for humans. This logic affords ontological representations of complex terms appearing in the text sources. Along with logical propositions, the system applies a semantic graph representation facilitating calculation of bio-pathways. More generally, the system affords means of query answering appealing to general and domain specific inference rules.
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1 Introduction

This paper addresses logic-based knowledge base bio-models derived from life science corpora. We discuss representation languages and reasoning principles for bio-models derived from actual life science sources. In particular, we describe and exemplify the intended query answering and pathway functionality, that is, the ability to compute conceptual pathways in the stored model.

This paper is a companion paper to our [1] and a follow-up on our [2]. The former paper describes the internal technical details of extracting information from life science corpora as well as how the representation and reasoning is performed computationally using the information.

2 Class Relationship Bio-models

Fundamentally, we model bio-systems formally as relationships between classes of concrete and abstract individuals. The classes comprise physical objects such as cells and organs and substances and amounts of substances such as blood. There are also classes comprising abstract entities such as properties and events, phenomena and processes.
The relationships in the model comprise static ones like taxonomic class inclusion and partonomic relationships, as well as dynamic interactions like causation. Further, there are assorted, concrete effect relationships such as transport of objects and substances. However, our approach at present does not make provision for narratives such as sequences of events: The knowledge base sentences are in principle unordered.

At first sight our approach resembles the well-known, rather simplistic entity-relationship models and RDF representations. However, our framework is unique in various respects, first of all in its generativity, that is, the ability of the models to accommodate arbitrarily complex concepts formed by composition of lexicalized classes and relationships as discussed in [3]. By way of examples, the virtually open-ended supply of concept terms such as ‘cell in the liver that secretes hormone’, ‘arteria in pancreas’, ‘secrete from the exocrine pancreas’ are accommodated in the model by composing simple, given class terms into compound concept terms with an obvious resemblance to phrases in natural language, as these examples illustrate. All such encountered concepts are situated in the so-called ‘generative ontology’ in a manner so that they can be “de-constructed” and reasoned with computationally.

The generativity and the liaison to natural language specifications is achieved by adopting so-called ‘natural logic’ cf. [4–6] as the logical model language. In addition, the models come in the form of graphs with concepts as nodes and relations as edges. These two mutually supporting representation media for the bio-models are described briefly in the next sections. In section 5 we consider model fragments drawn from various medical text sources. In section 6 we exemplify and explain the pathway computation functionality of our system.

3 Models in Natural Logic

In the applied natural logic conception, a knowledge base or specification consists of a collection of descriptive sentences called 'propositions' in order to distinguish them from the natural language sentences from which they are derived. Propositions in the applied logic, dubbed NATURALOG, are of the following general form

\[ \text{Conterm}_1 \ \text{Relterm} \ \text{Conterm}_2 \]

where

- The two Conterms are atomic or compound concept terms.
- The Relterm is a relational term, in the simplest cases corresponding to a transitive verb, e.g. ‘cause’, ‘secrete’. Relterms are further used for qualification of concepts by prepositional phrases with prepositions like ‘in’, ‘via’ etc.

In the sample logical proposition betacell secrete insulin the two concept terms are atomic, and so is the intervening relational term. A bio-model or knowledge base comprising also the class inclusion ontology is made up of a finite, albeit possibly huge, collection of such NATURALOG propositions. As it appears, we use
sans serif font for these throughout. This model is then the basis for inferences and querying.

Propositions may contain complex structures: Compound Conterms consist of a class $C$ with attached qualifications. In the more complex sample proposition (cell that secrete insulin) is-located-in (pancreatic gland), the first concept term consists of the atomic term cell adorned with a relative clause consisting of the relational term secrete followed by the concept term insulin. Relative clauses are indicated by the optional keyword ‘that’, merely to ease the reading. Relative clauses are assumed always to act restrictively. For instance, as a matter of principle cell that secrete insulin is recorded by the system as a sub-concept of cell in the concept inclusion structure in the ontology. Likewise, the second concept term pancreatic gland, is recognized as a sub-concept of the class gland in that all adjectives are also assumed to be interpreted restrictively. Parentheses are inserted for ease of reading and serve to ensure disambiguation. They may be omitted if there is no risk of ambiguity.

However, sub-class - and, more generally, sub-concept relationships may also be specified explicitly, namely by the relation term isa, corresponding to copula sentences. Example: betacell isa cell. By contrast, the propositions (cell that secretes insulin) isa cell and (pancreatic cell) isa cell are inferred by the system according to the principles mentioned. Still, (pancreatic cell) isa (cell located-in pancreas) (and vice versa) has to be provided.

As it appears, the natural logic propositions are perfectly readable, if somewhat stereotypical, by domain experts by virtue of their resemblance to natural language. The converse, challenging task of automating translation from manageable parts of natural language in scientific text sources into natural logic is approached in our [1].

3.1 Quantifiers and Recursion in Concept Terms

The above propositional form $Conterm_1 Relterm Conterm_2$ is actually a special case of

$$Q_1 Conterm_1 Relterm Q_2 Conterm_2$$

where the Qs are quantifiers, primarily ‘all/every’ or ‘some’. Usually the quantifiers are absent with $Q_1$ then being interpreted as all and $Q_2$ as some by default. Accordingly, the example betacell secrete insulin is interpreted logically as the proposition all betacell secrete some insulin, where some insulin is meant to be some portion or amount of insulin. Generally speaking, the entities in a class of substance are taken to be all arbitrary, non-empty amounts of the substance.

This propositional form all $Conterm_1 Relterm some Conterm_2$ corresponds to the predicate logic formula $\forall x(Conterm_1[x] \rightarrow \exists y(Relterm[x, y] \land Conterm_2[y]))$, see further [1, 2], where we also discuss the relationship to description logic.

The introduced NATURALog forms cover only those parts of binary predicate logic which are considered relevant for bio-modelling. Notable exclusions are logical negation and logical disjunctions. We plan to support a limited form of negation by way of the Closed World Assumption as in the concept term:
hormone that not secreted from endocrine gland, which might serve as a query to
the knowledge base. The relational term secreted here is the reverse relation of secrete.

Recall that a concept term consists of a class $C$ followed by one or more quali-
fications or restrictions, where restrictions consist of a relational term followed
by a concept term: $Relterm Conterm$. In case of more than one restriction, these
are to form a conjunction with and understood as logical conjunction proper. By
contrast, two and-aligned concept terms within the same class are conceived of as
a logical disjunction. Accordingly, concept terms have a finitely nested, recursive
structure reflecting the syntax of natural language nominal phrases with possibly
nested relative clauses and prepositional phrases. The handling of adjectives
(ex. pancreatic gland) and compound nouns (ex. lung symptom), both assumed
to be acting restrictively, as well as genitives is to be discussed elsewhere.

3.2 Ontologies

As mentioned above, a special case of the above propositions are class inclu-
sion relationships corresponding to stylized copula sentences. For example, in
the proposition pancreas isa (endocrine gland)isa is concept inclusion. The syn-
onymy relation syn is construed as both way isa, cf. the declaration pancreas syn
(pancreatic gland). Such propositions form the backbone of the ontology in our
knowledge-based bio-models. Also partonomic propositions like betacell part-of
(endocrine pancreas) are included in the ontology; cf. [7] for the various parto-
nomic relations.

By contrast, a proposition like betacell secrete insulin is understood as an
observational fact, an assertion, and therefore does not belong to the ontology
proper. The concept of betacell would then be expected to be defined in some
other way, which may or may not be part of the bio-model. However, the stated
assertion might be replaced by the definitional proposition (cell that secrete in-
sulin) syn betacell at the discretion of the domain expert. This proposition posits
that all cells that secrete insulin (whatever their location), are to be called bet-
tacells.

4 Bio-models as Semantic Graphs

In our framework, the natural logic propositions constituting a bio-knowledge
base are paralleled by an alternative representation in the form of directed
graphs as commonly used in bio-models [8–10]. The graphs come about by de-
composing compound and relational concept terms into their constituents in the
form of triples [4]. These triples are re-conceived of as labeled directed edges
between nodes. Every concept is associated with one node and vice versa.

This semantic graph representation, which superficially resembles RDF, fa-
cilitates computation of relevant associations between concepts, namely by com-
putation of connecting paths in the graph. For example, the subject concept
in the proposition (cell that secrete insulin) located-in pancreas corresponding to
the natural language sentence *cell that secretes insulin is located in pancreas* is internally decomposed into the two triples

[cell-that-secrete-insulin] isa cell.  
[cell-that-secrete-insulin] produce insulin.

where the added auxiliary concept (cell-that-secrete-insulin) is conceived of as an atomic name of a node defined by the two triples. An arc symbol as in ‘シン’ is inserted between the defining edges in the graph rendition to express that they form the definition of the concept, in casu (cell-that-secrete-insulin).

The given proposition, which is epistemically in observational mode, then becomes represented by the triple (cell-that-secrete-insulin) located-in pancreas. So in this way a distinction is made between definitional and assertive (observational) propositions. This ensures that the original propositions, whatever their complexity, can be regained from the semantic graph as indicated in the following figure:

```
Bio-scientific text sources
↓
Formal NATURALOG knowledge base bio-model with human readable propositions
↓
Semantic graph for querying and pathway computation
```

5 Fragments of a Bio-model: A Case Study

Below follows logical knowledge base representation of excerpts from Wikipedia articles on endocrine glands and [11, 12]. The fragments concern the endocrine system and the gallbladder, and they are stated in an extended, relaxed form of NATURALOG, cf. [1].

The propositions set in parentheses below are present only implicitly in the considered corpora. Some propositions introduce sub-concepts by agglutination rather than by the use of separate words, calling for manual treatment. Conversely, some would-be compounds like islet of Langerhans and Graves’ disease should not be decomposed, but should be kept as atomic class names.

endocrine gland secrete hormone.  
gland isa organ.  
(hormone isa protein.)  
pituitary gland and pancreas and thyroid gland and adrenal glands  
isa endocrine gland.  
hypothalamus isa neuroendocrine gland.  
(neuroendocrine gland isa endocrine gland.)  
(stomach produces grehlin, a hormone.1)

1 The apposition becomes a separate proposition, grehlin isa hormone.
target cell is affected by hormone.
liver and kidneys degrade [all] hormone.\(^2\)

**Thyroid gland**

thyroid hormone derives from thyroglubin, a glycoprotein.  
(glycoprotein is a protein.)
thyroxine and triiodothyronine is a thyroid hormone.
thyroxine syn T4.
triiodothyronine syn T3.
thyroxine and triiodothyronine increase cellular metabolism.
increased cellular metabolism increases oxygen use and heat production.
TSH causes secretion of thyroid hormone.
increased level of thyroid hormone inhibit  
secretion from pituitary gland and hypothalamus.
T3 and T4 promote gene (synthesis) and protein synthesis.  
(hyperthyroidism syn increased secretion from thyroid gland.)
hyperthyroidism causes Graves’ disease.
(hypothyroidism syn decreased secretion from thyroid gland.)
hypothyroidism causes cretinism in infants and myxedema in adults.
calcitonin reduces level of calcium in the blood by  
(inhibition of resorption in bone matrix) and  
(increase of deposit of calcium in bone).
increased level of calcium in the blood causes production of calcitonin.
calcitonin is produced by parafollicular cell in the thyroid gland.

**Parathyroid glands**

parathyroid glands secrete parathyroid hormone.  
PTH syn parathyroid hormone.
parathyroid hormone causes increase of levels of calcium in blood.  
decreased level of calcium in the blood causes release of PTH.  
increased level of calcium in the blood inhibits release of PTH.

**Pancreas**

pancreas is an endocrine gland and exocrine gland.  
(pancreas has part endocrine gland and exocrine gland.)
endocrine pancreas has part islets-of-Langerhans.
islets of langerhans release insulin and glucagon to the blood.  
(alphacell and betacell are located in (islet-of-Langerhans).)  
alphacell (releases when low level of glucose in blood) glucagon.
glucagon promote (release of glucose from the liver).  
betacell (release when increased level of glucose in blood) insulin.\(^3\)

\(^2\) The relation *degrade* having a negative connotation suggests use of the quantifier all  
instead of some in the linguistic object.

\(^3\) The relation term is here compounded, forming a sub-relation of release.
insulin promotes (uptake of glucose) and metabolism in body cells.  
reduced secretion of insulin causes diabetes mellitus.  
polyuria and polydipsia and polyphagia is a symptom of diabetes mellitus.\footnote{This proposition in the semantic graph is split into three simpler ones.}

**Pancreatitis**

pancreatitis is (inflammation of pancreas).
alcohol and gallstone may cause pancreatitis.
alcohol may cause chronic pancreatitis.\footnote{The concept chronic pancreatitis is automatically bound to be recognized as a subconcept of pancreatitis.}
gallstone may cause acute pancreatitis.

Backward references from propositions to the sentences from which they are derived are stored. One sentence may give rise to multiple propositions e.g. due to linguistic conjunctions, appositions, and parenthetical relative clauses. Furthermore, as seen, one proposition in general gives rise to multiple triples in the graph rendition by a decomposition introducing nodes for compound, auxiliary terms.

### 6 Computational Query Answering and Pathfinding

It is our tenet that bio-pathways can be computed in logical bio-models by mathematical composition of the relations corresponding to the edges in the semantic graph. This computation process is supported by logical inference rules, since inferred propositions may constitute shortcuts, as it were, in the graph view. For instance, the transitivity of inclusion, isa, conceptually shortens the distance from a concept to a superior concept in the ontology via intermediate concepts. Similarly for partonomic, causative and effect relations. In [2], the path finding is explained more abstractly as application of appropriate logical comprehension principles supporting the relation composition.

A miniature ontology, corresponding to a subset of the bio-model propositions listed in section 5, is visualised in the graph in figure 1. In addition, a candidate answer to the query

```
high calcium level in blood, gland?
```

is shown. The answer is provided as a pathway connecting the two concepts and the pathway can be seen as an explanation of how the two concepts are related. The reading of the answer can be

```
High calcium level in the blood causes production of calcitonin in the parafollicular cells of the thyroid gland, which is an endocrine gland, which is a gland.
```
In figure 2 an extended version of the ontology is shown. New knowledge has been added to the base such that the two concepts become connected by a new pathway corresponding to an alternative answer. The reading of this alternative answer can be

*High calcium level in the blood is stimulated by parathyroid hormone, which is secreted by the parathyroid gland, which is an endocrine gland, which is a gland*.

A pathway computation, being more than a pure inferential process, in our system is also the composition of relations guided by appropriate path computation. In our framework this computation is reduced algorithmically to search for weighted paths between concept nodes in the graph representation, utilizing
standard heuristic algorithms in artificial intelligence. The intermediate propositional representations refer back to the source texts so that computed paths can be shown by highlighting excerpts in the texts.

A single concept may also be construed as a conceptual query asking for all the subsumed concepts. For example, the query

hormone produced-by endocrine gland?

would yield among others insulin, while the query

hormone not produced-by endocrine gland?

which uses an extended form of concept terms with negation (appealing to the Closed World Assumption), would yield the hormone grelin.

7 Summary and Conclusion

We have described a system for querying and pathfinding in bio-models taking the form of logical knowledge bases derived from text sources. The applied logical language accommodates complex sentences, which can be queried by deductive means, and the supporting semantic graph form enables algorithmic pathfinding between concepts. A small scale prototype for demonstrating the functionality principles is under development. Computational translation of text sources into the logical form is a challenging problem which might be approached by adopting enriched forms of natural logic as a specification language for bio-systems.
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