

Corrigendum to: Population Age Structure An Underlying Driver of National, Regional and Urban Economic Development

Malmberg, Anders; Malmberg, Bo; Maskell, Peter

Document Version

Final published version

Published in:

ZFW - Advances in Economic Geography

DOI:

[10.1515/zfw-2023-0041](https://doi.org/10.1515/zfw-2023-0041)

Publication date:

2024

License

CC BY

Citation for published version (APA):

Malmberg, A., Malmberg, B., & Maskell, P. (2024). Corrigendum to: Population Age Structure: An Underlying Driver of National, Regional and Urban Economic Development. *ZFW - Advances in Economic Geography*, 68(1), 79. <https://doi.org/10.1515/zfw-2023-0041>

[Link to publication in CBS Research Portal](#)

General rights

Copyright and moral rights for the publications made accessible in the public portal are retained by the authors and/or other copyright owners and it is a condition of accessing publications that users recognise and abide by the legal requirements associated with these rights.

Take down policy

If you believe that this document breaches copyright please contact us (research.lib@cbs.dk) providing details, and we will remove access to the work immediately and investigate your claim.

Download date: 06. Aug. 2024



Anders Malmberg*, Bo Malmberg, Peter Maskell

Corrigendum to: Population age structure – An underlying driver of national, regional and urban economic development

<https://doi.org/10.1515/zfw-2023-0041>

Corrigendum to: Anders Malmberg, Bo Malmberg and Peter Maskell. Population age structure – An underlying driver of national, regional and urban economic development. *ZFW – Advances in Economic Geography*, 2023, 67(4), 217–233. (<https://doi.org/10.1515/zfw-2023-0040>)

Figure 4 should be replaced by the following figure:

Region	States	1950	1960	1970	1980	1990	2000	2010	2019
"Manufacturing Belt"									
Middle Atlantic Division	NJ, NY, PA	61.9%	55.2%	53.3%	57.1%	59.7%	59.1%	60.6%	59.2%
New England Division	CT, ME, MA, NH, RI, VT	59.5%	53.1%	52.0%	57.0%	60.3%	59.7%	61.1%	59.7%
East North Central Div.	IN, IL, MI, OH, WI	59.1%	52.3%	51.4%	56.3%	58.2%	58.7%	59.7%	58.3%
"Periphery"									
South Atlantic Division	DE, DC, FL, GE, MD, NC, SC, VA, WV	55.7%	51.7%	52.3%	56.8%	59.5%	59.7%	60.1%	58.3%
East South Central Div.	AL, KY, MS, TE	52.7%	49.5%	50.2%	54.8%	57.7%	59.3%	59.7%	57.9%
Mountain Division	AZ, CO, ID, NM, MT, UT, NV, WY	54.8%	49.8%	50.4%	56.1%	57.5%	58.7%	59.1%	57.9%
West South Central Div.	AR, LA, OK TX	55.3%	50.6%	50.8%	55.3%	57.6%	58.5%	59.3%	58.3%
West North Central Div.	IA, KS, MN, MO, NE, ND, SD	56.6%	50.5%	49.7%	54.9%	56.7%	57.7%	59.1%	57.3%
Pacific Division	CA, OR, WA	60.5%	53.4%	53.6%	58.6%	60.2%	59.5%	60.8%	59.9%

Figure 4: Proportion of population in working ages (20–65 year) 1950–2019 (per cent) in US states, broad regional groupings.

Source: Ruggles *et al.* (2022). Regional Division based on Norton and Rees (1979).

*Corresponding author: Anders Malmberg, Department of Human Geography, Uppsala University, Sweden, E-Mail: Anders.Malmberg@uu.se

Bo Malmberg, Department of Human Geography, Stockholm University, Sweden, E-Mail: Bo.Malmberg@humangeo.su.se

Peter Maskell, Department of Strategy and Innovation, Copenhagen Business School, Denmark, E-Mail: maskell@cbs.se