

Association Between Variants of Neuromedin U Gene and Taste **Thresholds and Food Preferences in European Children Results From the IDEFICS Study**

Grippi, Claudio; Ahrens, Wolfgang; Buchecker, Kirsten; Chadjigeorgiou, Charalambos; De Henauw, Stefaan; Koni, Anna C.; Foraita, Ronja; Lissner, Lauren; Molnar, Dénes; Moreno, Luis Alberto; Pitsiladis, Yannis; Reisch, Lucia A.; Russo, Paola; Siani, Alfonso; Veidebaum, Toomas; lacoviello, Licia; Gianfagna, Francesco

Document Version Accepted author manuscript

Published in: Appetite

DOI: 10.1016/j.appet.2019.104376

Publication date: 2019

License CC BY-NC-ND

Citation for published version (APA): Grippi, C., Ahrens, W., Buchecker, K., Chadjigeorgiou, C., De Henauw, S., Koni, A. C., Foraita, R., Lissner, L., Molnar, D., Moreno, L. A., Pitsiladis, Y., Reisch, L. A., Russo, P., Siani, A., Veidebaum, T., Iacoviello, L., & Gianfagna, F. (2019). Association Between Variants of Neuromedin U Gene and Taste Thresholds and Food Proferences in European Children: Results From the IDEFICE Crucks. American Activity, 10:072 Preferences in European Children: Results From the IDEFICS Study. *Appetite*, *142*, Article 104376. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.appet.2019.104376

Link to publication in CBS Research Portal

General rights

Copyright and moral rights for the publications made accessible in the public portal are retained by the authors and/or other copyright owners and it is a condition of accessing publications that users recognise and abide by the legal requirements associated with these rights.

Take down policy

If you believe that this document breaches copyright please contact us (research.lib@cbs.dk) providing details, and we will remove access to the work immediately and investigate your claim.

Download date: 04. Jul. 2025









Association Between Variants of Neuromedin U Gene and Taste Thresholds and Food Preferences in European Children: Results From the IDEFICS Study

Claudio Grippi, Wolfgang Ahrens, Kirsten Buchecker, Charalambos Chadjigeorgiou, Stefaan De Henauw, Anna C. Koni, Ronja Foraita, Lauren Lissner, Dénes Molnar, Luis Alberto Moreno, Yannis Pitsiladis, Lucia A. Reisch, Paola Russo, Alfonso Siani, Toomas Veidebaum, Licia Iacoviello, and Francesco Gianfagna

Journal article (Accepted manuscript*)

Please cite this article as:

Grippi, C., Ahrens, W., Buchecker, K., Chadjigeorgiou, C., De Henauw, S., Koni, A. C., Foraita, R., Lissner, L., Molnar, D., Moreno, L. A., Pitsiladis, Y., Reisch, L. A., Russo, P., Siani, A., Veidebaum, T., Iacoviello, L., & Gianfagna, F. (2019). Association Between Variants of Neuromedin U Gene and Taste Thresholds and Food Preferences in European Children: Results From the IDEFICS Study. *Appetite*, *142*, [104376]. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.appet.2019.104376

DOI: <u>https://doi.org/10.1016/j.appet.2019.104376</u>

* This version of the article has been accepted for publication and undergone full peer review but has not been through the copyediting, typesetting, pagination and proofreading process, which may lead to differences between this version and the publisher's final version AKA Version of Record.

Uploaded to <u>CBS Research Portal:</u> August 2020

© 2019. This manuscript version is made available under the CC-BY-NC-ND 4.0 license <u>http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by-nc-nd/4.0/</u>









in European children: **Results from the IDEFICS study** Claudio Grippi^a, Wolfgang Ahrens^{b,c}, Kirsten Buchecker^d, Charalambos Chadjigeorgiou^e, Stefaan De Henauw ^f, Anna C. Koni ^g, Ronja Foraita ^b, Lauren Lissner ^h, Denés Molnár ⁱ, Luis A. Moreno ^j, Yannis Pitsiladis^k, Lucia A. Reisch¹, Paola Russo^m, Alfonso Siani^m, Toomas Veidebaumⁿ, Licia Iacoviello ^{a,o,*}, Francesco Gianfagna ^{o,p} ^a Department of Epidemiology and Prevention, IRCCS Istituto Neurologico Mediterraneo NEUROMED, Pozzilli (IS), Italy; ^b Leibniz Institute for Prevention Research and Epidemiology - BIPS, Bremen, Germany. ^c Faculty of Mathematics and Computer Science, University of Bremen, Bremen, Germany. ^d Department of Food Science, TTZ, Bremerhaven, Germany. ^e Research and Education Foundation of Child Health, Strovolos, Cyprus. ^f Department of Public Health, Faculty of Medicine and Health Sciences, Ghent University, Ghent, Belgium. ^g School of Life Sciences, College of Medical, Veterinary and Life Sciences, University of Glasgow, Glasgow, United Kingdom. ^h Section for Epidemiology and Social Medicine, University of Gothenburg, Göteborg, Sweden ⁱ Department of Paediatrics, Medical School, University of Pécs, Pécs, Hungary. ^jGENUD (Growth, Exercise, Nutrition and Development) Research Group, University of Zaragoza, Zaragoza, Spain. ^k Collaborating Centre of Sports Medicine, University of Brighton, Brighton, United Kingdom.

Association between variants of neuromedin U gene and taste thresholds and food preferences

60		
61		
62 63	26	¹ Department of Management, Society and Communication, Copenhagen Business School,
64 65	27	Copenhagen, Denmark.
66 67	28	^m Unit of Epidemiology & Population Genetics, Institute of Food Sciences, CNR, Avellino, Italy.
69 70	29	ⁿ Department of Chronic Diseases, National Institute for Health Development, Tallinn, Estonia.
70 71 72	30	° EPIMED Research Center, Department of Medicine and Surgery, University of Insubria, Varese,
73 74	31	Italy
74 75 76	32	^p Mediterranea Cardiocentro, Napoli, Italy
77 78	33	
79 80	34	
81 82	35	*Address correspondence to: Licia Iacoviello, MD, PhD - Department of Epidemiology and
83 84	36	Prevention, IRCCS Istituto Neurologico Mediterraneo Neuromed, Via dell'Elettronica - 86077
85 86 97	37	Pozzilli (IS), Italy. E-mail: licia.iacoviello@moli-sani.org Tel: +39-0865915246 Fax: +39
87 88 80	38	0865927575
90 91	39	
92 93	40	
94	41	Declarations of interest: none
95 96	42	
97 98	43	
99 100	44	
101	45	
103	15	
105 106	40	
107	47	
108	48	
110	10	
111	49	
112		
114		
115		
116		
117		2
118		

ABSTRACT (max 280 words- *Appetite*)

Aim: The neuropeptide neuromedin U (NMU) known for its role in appetite, feeding and energy balance could be involved in the control of food choice and taste sensitivity. We examined the association between NMU polymorphisms/haplotypes and taste thresholds and food preferences in a population of European children.

Methods: A total of 578 subjects from the IDEFICS study (mean age 7.5±0.8 SD, boys 53.6%) with NMU genotype data and food preference (salty, fatty, sweet, flavour and umami food) and taste threshold (salt, fat, sweet, umami) tests available were analysed. Three single nucleotide polymorphisms (SNPs; rs6827359, T:C; rs12500837, T:C; rs9999653, C:T) of NMU gene were analyzed and five major haplotypes were inferred. The associations between genotypes and food preferences or taste thresholds were investigated (odds ratios -OR, adjusted for age, sex and country). A p < 0.05 after false discovery rate adjustment (pFDR) was considered statistically significant.

Results: The association between *NMU* genotypes and food preference showed two *NMU* SNPs associated with preference for food containing sodium glutamate (umami taste; rs6827359C, OR=1.61, 95% confidence interval (CI):1.20-2.17; rs9999653T, OR=1.59, 95%CI:1.18-2.13). In the haplotype analysis, the CTT haplotype showed an OR of 1.70 (95%CI:1.16-2.5) for the umami food preference, while CCT haplotype showed an OR of 1.63 (95%CI:1.11-2.40), compared to the most frequent haplotype (TTC). Carriers of CCT/CCT vs subjects with no CCT haplotype showed an OR of 4.78 (95%CI:1.86-12.30). Umami food preference was associated with low values of BMI zscore, arm circumferences, skinfolds and fat mass (pFDR<0.05). No association between NMU genetic variants and taste thresholds was found.

178	
179	
180 74	Conclusions. This study shows for the first time in children on association between preference for
181 /4	Conclusions. This study shows for the first time in enhancen an association between preference for
182	www.iferdewide.WMU.healetawe.www.iee.leferwide.com/ieted.withlawe.DMU.ashee
183 /5	umami food and a <i>NMO</i> hapfotype, previously found associated with fow BMI values.
184	
185 76	
186 /0	
187	Konworden food musicano and um amis nouver adin Us nouveleans constiant abority
188 //	Reywords: <i>Jobu prejerences, umami, neuromeatin</i> 0, <i>neurology, genetics, obesity</i>
189	
190 /8	
191	
192 70	
193 /	
194	
195 80	
196	
197	
198 81	
199	
200	
201 82	
202	
204 02	
205	
206	
207	
208	
209	
210	
211	
212	
213	
214	
215	
216	
217	
218	
219	
220	
221	
222	
223	
224	
225	
220 227	
228	
229	
230	
231	
232	
233	
234	
235	4
236	

INTRODUCTION

Taste sensing influences food preference, appetite and satiety, thereby regulating diet quality and total food intake and, as a result, weight maintenance (Dotson 2012). Five taste qualities can be perceived by humans: sweet, salty, bitter, sour and umami. The ability of discriminate between tastes has shown to be the result of evolution, to avoid hazardous compounds while searching for nutrients important for life and development.

In children, food preferences are often guided by taste alone. Specifically, preferences for sugar and fat may be acquired early in life, as children learn to prefer those flavors that are associated with high energy density and fat content, with higher risk of developing overweight (Drewnowski 1997). In line with that, several studies showed that food preferences and taste sensitivity differ between obese and non-obese children (Overberg 2012; Wardle 2001).

The mechanisms behind the regulation of food preferences and taste perception has only been partially revealed (MacLean 2017). Recent findings suggested that genetics plays an important role, since high heritability levels were found for both food preferences and taste perception (Tornwall 2015). Genetic studies of taste variability have focused on a number of candidate genes encoding the taste receptors, hormones and neuropeptides, such as leptin, GLP-1 and NPY, important modulators at both peripheral and central level (Feeney 2011; Loper 2015).

Neuromedin U (NMU) is a neuropeptide with a highly conserved genetic structure, thought to have several important functions. Transgenic mouse models and experimental studies showed its main involvement in the regulation of body weight, through its effect on appetite, feeding and energy balance (Martinez 2015). Recently, an increased preference for obesogenic food was observed in rats knockdown for NMU Receptor 2 (NMUR2), the NMU receptor mainly expressed in the central nervous system (Benzon 2014). Although the effects of NMU are well understood in animal models, little is known about its role in humans besides a suggested role in adiposity

297 ²⁹⁸ 299 **108** regulation from epidemiological evidence. A rare NMU variant was in fact associated with 300 overweight and obesity (Hainerová 2006). In addition, our group observed an association between 109 301 302 ₃₀₃110 NMU genetic polymorphisms and adiposity indices in a European children population (Gianfagna 304 2017). While a link between NMU and adiposity regulation was identified, no data have been 305 111 306 produced to link this neuropeptide to adiposity intermediate phenotypes. To this respect, NMU 307 112 308 could cooperate in modifying taste perception and selective appetite. 309113 310 311 In this study, we aimed at evaluating the potential association of NMU with food 312114 313 preferences and taste perception, by investigating NMU genetic variants in a European children 314115 315 ³¹⁶116 population recruited for the IDEFICS Study (Ahrens 2011). 317 318 319 117 320 ³²¹ 118 322 ³²³119 324 ³²⁵120 326 327 328¹²¹ **MATERIALS AND METHODS** 329 ₃₃₀ 122 331 **Study population** 332 **123** 333 IDEFICS (Identification and prevention of dietary - and lifestyle - induced health effects in 334 124 335 children and infants) is a large European multi-center study aimed at investigating risk factors 336 125 337 ³³⁸126 associated with childhood obesity (Ahrens 2011). A cohort of 16,229 children aged 2.0-9.9 years 339 ³⁴⁰ 127 was recruited in a population-based survey between September 2007 and May 2008 (T0), in eight 341 ³⁴²128 European countries (Belgium, Cyprus, Estonia, Germany, Hungary, Italy, Spain and Sweden). 343 344 ₃₄₅129 For taste threshold and food preference test, from the subgroup of participating primary 346 ₃₄₇130 schoolchildren aged 6-9 or 7-9 years (depending on age of school enrolment), a subsample of 1839 348 (20.8%) children were randomly selected (Lanfer 2012). For genetic analyses, a subgroup of 4,678 349 131 350 (28.8%) samples was randomly selected from the total study population of European descent 351 132 352 6 353 354

355 356

359

361 ₃₆₂ 135

363

³⁵⁷ 358 133 children, stratifying by age, sex and country (about 600 subjects from each country) (Gianfagna 360¹³⁴ 2013; Cugino 2013). Finally, 578 children, 6-9-year-old with NMU genotype and food preference and taste threshold test available were selected for the present analysis.

Ethical approval was obtained by the ethical committees of each center engaged in the 364 **1**36 fieldwork: Ethics Committee, University Hospital, Gent, Belgium; Cyprus National Bioethics Committee, Strovolos, Cyprus; Tallinn Medical Research Ethics Committee, Tallinn, Estonia; Ethics Committee, University of Bremen, Bremen, Germany; Egészségügyi Tudományos Tanács, Pécs, Hungary; Comitato Etico, ASL Avellino, Avellino, Italy; Comité Ético de Investigación, Clínica de Aragón (CEICA), Zaragoza, Spain; Regional Ethics Committee, University of Gothenburg, Gothenburg, Sweden. Both children and their parents gave oral (children) and written (parents) informed consent.

Data collection

Food preference test. The preference test was organized as paired and forced choice on a board as previously described (Lanfer 2012, Knof 2011). Briefly, participating children had their last meal 1 h before to ensure that they were neither hungry nor sated. The test was conducted using five preference tests for five tastes. To evaluate sweet preference apple, juice was administered in small cups with a volume of 30 ml at 18 ± 2 °C and with different addition of sucrose (0.53-3.11%). For the evaluation of flavour preferences, 0.05% apple flavour (nature identical, Sensient Flavors, Bremen, Germany) was added to the basic recipe. The children had to rinse their mouths with water between each pair sequence of the test. To assess the preference for salty, fatty and umami tastes, crackers were selected as food sample. The crackers were covered with 0.5% aqueous solution of sodium hydroxide (soda lye, Carl Roth Chemicals, Karlsruhe, Germany) to make them tastier to the children. The basic recipe of cracker included water, flour (wheat), fat (8%) and salt. The same type of crackers was modified with an increased 8% of fat to assess high-fat preference, an increased 1% of salt for salty taste and monosodium glutamate (1%) for umami crackers. In each sequence, the

children had to choose their preferred food sample between the basic recipe and a modified one. The order of assessment for the food choice was fat, salt and umami. The test procedure was subject to pre-testing before the beginning of the study (Suling 2011) and yielded reliable results in a reproducibility study (Knof 2011).

Taste detection threshold test. As a measure of taste sensitivity, detection threshold is the lowest value of a tastant that must be exceeded to have any effect on the observer. The procedure to evaluate the taste threshold was described in detail in Knof et al. (2011). In brief, a paired comparison test with five different watery solutions at different concentrations of tastants was served to the children in small cups of 20 ml. The concentration ranges of the tastants were sucrose (8.8-46.7 mmol/l), sodium chloride (3.4-27.4 mmol/l), caffeine (0.26-1.3 mmol/l) and monosodium glutamate (MSG) (0.6-9.5 mmol/l). The paired test was prepared as a board game and the children had to compare each test solution at increasing concentrations of tastants with a cup containing pure water, to find the cups that would taste differently from the previous one. Taste detection at lower tastant concentration (lower detection threshold value) indicates increased sensitivity for a specific tastant. Between the taste modalities, the children had to neutralize their taste with distilled water.

Anthropometric data. Height was measured using a standard clinical Seca 225 stadiometer (Seca, Hamburg, Germany) to the nearest 0.1 cm, and weight was measured using a scale (BC 420 SMA; Tanita, Amsterdam, The Netherlands) to the nearest 0.1 kg, on children wearing underwear clothes and without shoes. BMI was calculated as weight(kg)/height(m)². Waist and hip circumference was measured with an inelastic tape (Seca 200, precision 0.1 cm, range 0-150 cm). Age- and sex-specific BMI and waist circumference z-scores and BMI categories were calculated according to the criteria of the International Obesity Task Force (IOTF) (Cole 2012). Leg-to-leg impedance was measured with the Tanita scale and fat-free mass was calculated using the formula of Tyrrell et al (2001). Skinfold thicknesses (tricipital and subscapular) were measured with a Holtain caliper (Holtain, Holtain Ltd, Pembrokeshire, UK, range 0±40 mm), taking measures twice on the right hand body side and using the mean of the two measures for the analyses. All

473 474

475 476 **185** measurements were collected by standardized protocols across centers, checking intra- and interobserver reliability (Stomfai 2011).

477 478¹⁸⁶ 479

481

491

500 501 197 502

504

₄₈₀ 187 Genotyping. DNA extraction was carried out from saliva samples (Oragene DNA Self-Collection Kit, OG-300/OG-250; DNA Genotek Inc., Kanata, Ontario, Canada) (Koni 2011). 482 188 483 Among the three main blocks of the NMU gene (chr4, 55595229-55636698, GRCh38.p7 484 189 485 assembly), three tag SNPs (rs6827359, rs12500837, rs9999653; intronic regions) were selected 486 190 487 ⁴⁸⁸191 from the Caucasian HapMap Project data using the Tagger Pairwise method of Haploview software 489 ⁴⁹⁰ 192 (version 4.1; Broad Institute, Cambridge, MA, USA) (Barrett 2005). Tag SNP selection criteria 492 493 **193** were described in Gianfagna et al (2017). The SNPs were genotyped by a multiplexed end-point 494 495 **19**4 assay. The allelic discrimination was performed by 7500 Fast Real-Time System (Applied 496 ₄₉₇195 Biosystems). The genotyping success rate was on average 97.6% and a randomly selected sample 498 (5%) was newly genotyped for all SNPs with 100% concordance. 499 196

Statistical analysis 503 198

505 199 The analyses were conducted with SAS (v9.3, SAS Institute Inc., Cary, NC) and R (v3.2.1; 506 ⁵⁰⁷200 https://www.R-project.org/) software. Distribution of continuous variables was assessed using the 508 ⁵⁰⁹201 Kolmogorov-Smirnov test and log-transformed variables were used where appropriate. Hardy-510 511 512**202** Weinberg equilibrium (HWE) was assessed with the chi-square test. The best genetic model was 513 ₅₁₄203 checked for each genotype-phenotype association, testing dominance deviation from additivity and 515 considering the additive model as default (Hoffman 2004). The Haplo stats package of R software 516**20**4 517 was used to estimate the haplotype frequencies and to verify the associations between haplotype and 518205 519 phenotype (haplo.glm function, the most prevalent haplotype as reference). Haplotypes with 520 206 521 522 207 frequencies lower than 1% were excluded. Multiple regression analyses were performed using age, 523 ⁵²⁴208 sex and countries as covariates, to evaluate the association between genotypes and food preferences 525 ⁵²⁶209 (basic food or modified food with salty, fatty, umami, sweet and flavor tastes) or taste thresholds 527 529²¹⁰ 528 (sweet, salty, umami, bitter). The Benjamini-Hochberg false discovery rate (FDR) (Benjamini

9

590

1995) was used to adjust the results for multiple comparisons, using PROC MULTTEST in SAS. A FDR-adjusted p value (pFDR) <0.05 was considered as statistically significant.

215 **RESULTS**

7 **Population characteristics**

Characteristics of the study population are shown in Table 1. Children with at least one SNP successfully genotyped in NMU gene and eating behavior data available were 578 (mean age 7.5 ± 0.8 SD, boys 53.6%). The lowest taste threshold value was found for sweet taste, taking into account mean thresholds and concentration ranges of test solutions (sucrose, 19.9 mmol/l; range 8.8-46.7). In food preference tests, the most appreciated food were salt-added crackers (63.7% of children preferred them to the basic recipe crackers), while sodium glutamate-added crackers (umami taste) were the less appreciated (33.4%). Genotype frequencies are reported in Table 2a. No statistically significant differences were found between genotype frequencies of children randomly selected to be included or excluded from this analysis subjects (data not shown). All genotypes were in Hardy-Weinberg equilibrium and the minor allele frequencies (MAF) were similar to values reported in the HapMap database for Caucasians. Six haplotypes were inferred (Table 2b; wild-type haplotype 43.9%).

Associations with food preferences and taste thresholds

Deviation from additivity test showed codominance as the best genetic model for all phenotype-SNP and phenotype-haplotype associations, except for umami food preference for the reference haplotype TTC (H7), that showed a dominant model.

The analysis of the association between NMU genetic variants and food preferences are shown in Table 3. Children carrying mutant allele in Two-two out of the three NMU SNPs studied were more likely to prefer associated with preference for food containing sodium glutamate (umami taste) with respect to the same food prepared with a basic recipe (-rs6827359, odds ratio -OR=1.61, 95% confidence interval - 95%CI:1.20-2.17; rs9999653, OR=1.59, 95%CI:1.18-2.13; Table 3). The haplotype analysis confirmed the association between genetic variants and preference for with-umami preferencefood. Subjects carrying the CTT haplotype showed an OR of 1.70 (95%CI:1.16-2.50) for the umami preference, while CCT haplotype showed an OR of 1.63 (95%CI:1.11-2.40), as compared to the most frequent TTC haplotype. The reference haplotype showed a reduced odd for umami preference when compared to all other haplotypes (OR=0.42; 95%CI:0.27-0.66). The association between umami food preference and the two SNPs as well as the TTC haplotype remained statistically significant after FDR correction. The same analysis considering each single genotype or haplotype versus the correspondent wild-type (Table 3, last rows) showed even higher association values. Homozygotes for mutant allele of rs6827359 (OR=2.57; 95%CI:1.43-4.61) and of rs9999653 (OR=2.31; 95%CI:1.29-4.15) versus the opposite homozygotes showed FDR-significant association with umami food preference. Similarly, homozygotes for CTT (OR=4.78; 95%CI:1.86-12.30), as well as carriers of TTC (OR=0.43; 95%CI:0.26-0.68) haplotype showed FDR-significant association with umami food preference when compared with subjects without that specific haplotype. No significant associations were found between *NMU* genotypes or haplotypes and the other food preferences (Suppl. Table 1).

The analysis of the association between NMU genetic variants and taste thresholds showed no significant results (Table 4, codominant model, and Suppl. Table 2, genotype or haplotype contrasts).

Association between umami preference and adiposity indices

- 645

Preference for umami food was associated with lower levels of the main anthropometric measures (Table 5, Model 1), such as z-score BMI (-0.25, p=0.034) and fat mass (-0.93, p=0.012). The association between preference for umami food and overweight/obesity was not statistically significant (OR=0.70; 95%CI:0.42-1.17). An association was also found with skinfolds (sum of triceps and subscapular skinfold, -1.75, p=0.025) and arm circumference (-0.63, p=0.011). The association between umami and anthropometric measures did not change when NMU genetic variants were added as covariates in the regression model (Table 5, Model 2). The association between the NMU haplotypes and anthropometric measures, previously observed in the whole sample of subjects with genetic data available, was not significant in this smaller subsample of children with taste thresholds and food preference data.

DISCUSSION

In this study, conducted in a sub-sample of children from the large European IDEFICS cohort, we found variants (two SNPs and two haplotypes) of NMU gene associated with preference for food containing glutamate. Preference for umami food was inversely associated with several anthropometric parameters such as BMI, weight, waist and arm circumference, skinfolds and fat mass.

This is the first study in humans investigating the potential involvement of NMU in influencing food preferences and taste perception in humans, although some experimental studies suggested this potential role. A recent experimental study in rats revealed that NMUR2, one of the two NMU receptors, could induce preference for high-fat foods (Benzon 2014). The NMUR2 is located mainly in central nervous system and is highly expressed in the hypothalamous and in other regions receiving fibers from taste sensory ganglia (Stanska 2016; Li 2017). Our results on the association between NMU genetic variants and umami food preference support the role of NMU in

767

regulating feeding behavior (Jethwa 2005; Kowalski 2005), specifically in neuronal pathways of taste-like/dislike preferences for umami food. We found no association with other food preferences. In line with our negative findings, a recent experimental study in mice showed that a NMU analog has no effect on preference for a sweet drink (Kaisho 2017). Although several hormones and neuropeptides were suggested as modulators of peripheral gustatory system (Loper 2015), we found no association between *NMU* genetic variants and taste perception thresholds. The reason of this negative result could be a lack of expression of NMU receptors in oral cavity. In fact, we found no literature data on expression of NMUR1 and NMUR2 in oral cavity, although at least NMUR1 is abundantly present along the gastrointestinal tract (Li 2017; Hedrick 2000; Raddatz 2000). These findings confirm that the associations of NMU with food preference is mediated by central nervous and not peripheral gustatory system.

In our population, we found significant associations between umami preference and anthropometric parameters. Although some associations did not reach statistical significance, all were concordantly in the direction of a protective effect for umami preference. The strongest significant association was found for fat mass. Children with preference for umami food present a decreased fat mass of approximately 1 kg. The umami taste is the fifth taste identified (Ikeda 2002), induced principally by three molecules: monosodium glutamate (MSG), inosine-5'-monophosphate (IMP) and guanylo-5'-monophosphate (GMP). These substances enhance the savory of foods and cause sensation such as pleasure and satisfaction (De Araujo 2003; Kurihara 2015), as well as insulin release, salivary, gastric and pancreatic secretion, gastric emptying and distal colon peristalsis (Stanska 2016). These effects could result in the modulation of short-term intake and satiety by giving umami an important role in appetite control (Masic 2014). The variants found associated with an increased preference for umami food were the same identified associated with lower BMI values, in a larger sample of the same population (Gianfagna 2017), suggesting that an increase in food preferences for umami could be also associated with decreased BMI. Supporting this hypothesis, a study in rats showed an association between preference of monosodium glutamate

 solution and reduction of obesity (Kondoh 2008). This may suggest a potential mediating effect of
umami food preference in the association between *NMU* genetic variants and BMI. However, in the
subgroup with food preference data available, the association between *NMU* variants and BMI was
not significant as we previously reported in the whole sample with genetic data available
(Gianfagna 2013) due to the smaller sample size. The regression coefficient was however similar.

The strength of this study is the availability of a children population, which represent a good model for genetic studies. In fact, since the environment has had less time to exert its effect, phenotypes have a larger genetic component than in adults. However, taste and food preferences are influenced by several non-genetic factors, such as social, community and environmental factors, operating at multiple levels throughout life (Beckerman 2017; Russell 2013), which were not considered in this analysis. Although a confounding effect should be excluded due to the genetic study design, we cannot exclude a modification of the genetic effect due to factors not considered in the analyses. A further limitation of this study is the sample size, which is underpowered for the mediation effect analysis of umami preferences.

In conclusion, variants in the *NMU* gene might play a role in determining umami food preferences. This mechanism could mediate part of the association between the same *NMU* genetic variants and BMI, previously observed, although further studies are necessary to confirm this hypothesis.

827	
828	
829	004
830	331
831	
832	332
833	
000	333
034	
835	224
836	334
837	
838	335
839	
840	336
841	
842	337
843	
844	220
845	338
846	
040	339
047	
848	340
849	
850	2/1
851	341
852	
853	342
854	
855	343
856	
857	344
858	011
850	0.45
960	345
000	
001	346
862	
863	347
864	
865	318
866	340
867	
868	349
869	
870	350
871	
872	351
873	
010	
871	250
874	352
874 875	352
874 875 876	352 353
874 875 876 877	352 353
874 875 876 877 878	352 353 354
874 875 876 877 878 878	352 353 354
874 875 876 877 878 879 880	352353354355
874 875 876 877 878 878 879 880 881	352 353 354 355
874 875 876 877 878 879 880 880 881 882	 352 353 354 355 355
874 875 876 877 878 879 880 881 882 883	 352 353 354 355 356
874 875 876 877 878 879 880 881 882 883 884	 352 353 354 355 356

Acknowledgements

This study was conducted as part of the IDEFICS study (http://www.idefics.eu). We are grateful for the support provided by school boards, headmasters and communities. We thank the IDEFICS children and their parents for participating in this extensive examination. This study was supported by the European Community within the Sixth RTD Framework Programme Contract no. 016181 (FOOD). This analysis was partially supported by the Fondazione Veronesi (2014 Young Investigator Research Programme award to FG) and the Italian Ministry of Health 2011 (grant number 167/GR-2011-02351736 to FG). We thank Daniela Cugino and Iolanda Santimone for samples genotyping at the Fondazione Giovanni Paolo II, Campobasso, Italy.

REFERENCES

Ahrens, W., Bammann, K., Siani, A., Buchecker, K., De Henauw, S., Iacoviello, L., Hebestreit, A., Krogh, V., Lissner, L., Mårild, S., Molnár, D., Moreno, L.A., Pitsiladis, Y.P., Reisch, L., Tornaritis, M., Veidebaum, T., Pigeot, I. (2011). IDEFICS Consortium. The IDEFICS cohort: design, characteristics and participation in the baseline survey. International Journal of Obesity, 35, 3-15.

Barrett, J.C., Fry, B., Maller, J., Daly, M.J. (2005). Haploview: analysis and visualization of LD and haplotype maps. *Bioinformatics*, 21, 263-265.

Beckerman, J.P., Alike, Q., Lovin, E., Tamez, M., Mattei, J. (2017). The Development and Public Health Implications of Food Preferences in Children. Frontiers in Nutrition, 4, 66.

Benjamini, Y., Hochberg, Y. (1995). Controlling the False Discovery Rate: A Practical and Powerful Approach to Multiple Testing. Journal of the Royal Statistical Society, 57, 289-300.

Benzon, C.R., Johnson, S.B., McCue, D.L., Li, D., Green, T.A., Hommel, J.D. (2014). Neuromedin U receptor 2 knockdown in the paraventricular nucleus modifies behavioral responses to obesogenic high-fat food and leads to increased body weight. Neuroscience, 258, 270-9.

Cole, T.,J., Lobstein, T. (2012). Extended international (IOTF) body mass index cut-offs for thinness, overweight and obesity. Pediatric Obesity, 7, 284-294.

Cugino, D., Gianfagna, F., Ahrens, W., De Henauw, S., Koni, A.C., Marild, S., Molnar, D., Moreno, L.A., Pitsiladis, Y., Russo, P., Siani, A., Tornaritis, M., Veidebaum, T., Iacoviello, L. ₉₂₉376 931 **377** (2013). Polymorphisms of matrix metalloproteinase gene and adiposity indices in European children: results of the IDEFICS study. International Journal of Obesity, 37, 1539-1544. 933378

De Araujo, I.E., Kringelbach, M.L., Rolls, E.T., Hobden, P. (2003). Representation of 935 379 ⁹³⁷ 380 umami taste in the human brain. Journal of Neurophysiology, 90, 313-319.

941

942 943

945	
946	
⁹⁴⁷ 948381	Dotson, C.D., Colbert, C.L., Garcea, M., Smith, J.C., Spector, A.C. (2012). The
949 950 382	consequences of gustatory deafferentation on body mass and feeding patterns in the rat. American
951 952 383	Journal of Physiology. Regulatory, Integrative and Comparative Physiology, 303:R611-623.
953 954 384	Drewnowski, A. (1997). Taste preferences and food intake. Annual Review of Nutrition, 17,
955 956 385	237-253.
957 958 386 959	Feeney, E., O'Brien, S., Scannell, A., Markey, A., Gibney, E.R. (2011). Genetic variation in
960 387 961	taste perception: does it have a role in healthy eating? Proceedings of the Nutrition Society, 70 (1),
962 388 963	135-143.
⁹⁶⁴ 389 965	Gianfagna, F., Cugino, D., Ahrens, W., Bailey, M.E., Bammann, K., Herrmann, D., Koni,
966 967 390	A.C., Kourides, Y., Marild, S., Molnár, D., Moreno, L.A., Pitsiladis, Y.P., Russo, P., Siani, A.,
968 969 391	Sieri, S., Sioen, I., Veidebaum, T., Iacoviello, L. (2013). Understanding the links among
970 971 392 972	neuromedin U gene, beta2-adrenoceptor gene and bone health: an observational study in European
973 393 974	children. PLoS One, 8, e70632.
975 394 976	Gianfagna, F., Grippi, C., Ahrens, W., Bailey, M.E., Börnhorst, C., De Henauw, S., Foraita,
977 395 978	R., Koni, A.C., Krogh, V., Mårild, S., Molnár, D., Moreno, L., Pitsiladis, Y., Russo, P., Siani, A.,
979 396 980	Tornaritis, M., Veidebaum, T., Iacoviello, L. (2017). The role of neuromedin U in adiposity
⁹⁸¹ 982	regulation. Haplotype analysis in European children from the IDEFICS Cohort. PLoS One, 12,
983 984 398	e0172698.
985 986 399	Hainerová, I., Torekov, S.S., Ek, J., Finková, M., Borch-Johnsen, K., Jørgensen, T., Madsen,
987 988 400 989	O.D., Lebl, J., Hansen, T., Pedersen, O. (2006). Association between neuromedin U gene variants
990 401	and overweight and obesity. The Journal of Clinical Endocrinology & Metabolism, 91, 5057-5063.
992 402 993	Hedrick, J.A., Morse, K., Shan, L.X., Qiao, X.D., Pang, L., Wang, S., Laz, T., Gustafson,
994 403 995	E.L., Bayne, M., Monsma, F.J. (2000). Identification of a human gastrointestinal tract and immune
⁹⁹⁶ 404 997	system receptor for the peptide neuromedin U. Molecular Pharmacology, 58, 870-875.
998 999	
1000 1001 1002	17
1003	

1004	
1005	
1006 405 1007	Hoffman, K., Schulze, M.B., Schienkiewitz, A., Nöthlings, U., Boeing, H. (2004).
1008 1009 1009	Application of a new statistical method to derive dietary patterns in nutrition epidemiology.
1010 101 /107	American Journal of Epidemiology, 159, 935-944.
1012 101 9 08	Ikeda, K. (2002). New Seasonings. Chemical Senses, 27, 847-849.
1014 101 9 09	Jethwa, P.H., Small, C.J., Smith, K.L., Seth, A., Darch, S.J., Abbott, C.R., Murphy, K.G.,
101 7410 1018	Todd, J.F., Ghatei, M.A., Bloom, S.R. (2005). Neuromedin U has a physiological role in the
1019411 1020	regulation of food intake and partially mediates the effects of leptin. American Journal of
¹⁰² 412 1022	Physiology Endocrinology and Metabolism, 289, E301-305.
¹⁰²³ 413 1024	Kaisho, T., Nagai, H., Asakawa, T., Suzuki, N., Fujita, H., Matsumiya, K., Nishizawa, N.,
1025 414 1026	Kanematsu-Yamaki, Y., Dote, K., Sakamoto, J.I., Asami, T., Takekawa, S. (2017). Effects of
1027 1028 1020	peripheral administration of a Neuromedin U receptor 2-selective agonist on food intake and body
1029 103 6 16	weight in obese mice. International Journal of Obesity, 41, 1790-1797.
103 2417 1033	Kamisoyama, H., Honda, K., Saneyasu, T., Sugahara, K., Hasegawa, S. (2007). Central
103 418 1035	administration of neuromedin U suppresses food intake in chicks. Neuroscience Letters, 420, 1-5.
103@ 19 1037	Knof, K., Lanfer, A., Bildstein, M.O., Buchecker, K., Hilz, H. (2011). IDEFICS
1038 420 1039	Consortium. Development of a method to measure sensory perception in children at the European
¹⁰⁴⁰ 421 1041	level. International Journal of Obesity, 35(Suppl 1), S131-136.
1042 1043 1044	Kondoh, T., Torii, K. (2008). MSG intake suppresses weight gain, fat deposition, and
1044 1045 1046	plasma leptin levels in male Sprague-Dawley rats. Physiology & Behavior, 95, 135-144.
104 7 424 1048	Koni, A.C., Scott, R.A., Wang, G., Bailey, M.E., Peplies, J., Bammann, K., Pitsiladis, Y.P.
104 9⁴25 1050	(2011). DNA yield and quality of saliva samples and suitability for large-scale epidemiological
105 426 1052	studies in children. International Journal of Obesity, 35(Suppl 1), S113–118.
1053 427 1054	Kowalski, T.J., Spar, B.D., Markowitz, L., Maguire, M., Golovko, A., Yang, S., Farley, C.,
1055 <mark>428</mark> 1056	Cook, J.A., Tetzloff, G., Hoos, L., Del Vecchio, R.A., Kazdoba, T.M., McCool, M.F., Hwa, J.J.,
105 429 1058 1059	Hyde, L.A., Davis, H., Vassileva, G., Hedrick, J.A., Gustafson, E.L. (2005). Transgenic
1060 1061	18
1002	

1	0	6	3		
1	0	6	4		
1	0	6	5	<u>م</u>	~
1	0	6	6	3	U
1	0	6	7	<u>م</u>	4
1	0	6	8	3	1
1	0	6	9	<u>م</u>	2
1	0	7	Ó	3	2
1	0	7	1	_	~
1	0	7	2	3	3
1	0	7	3	_	
1	0	7	4	3	4
1	0	7	5	_	_
1	0	7 7	04 7	3	5
1 1	0	/ 7	/ 0.	_	
1 1	0	/ 7	ଏ ପ	3	6
י 1	0	י 8	9 0	_	_
י 1	0	8	4 1	3	7
י 1	0	8	2	_	_
1	0	8	4 3	3	8
1	0	8	4		
1	0	8	<u>4</u>	3	9
1	0	8	6		_
1	0	8	4	4	0
1	0	8	8		_
1	0	8	9	4	1
1	0	9	0		
1	0	9	11	4	2
1	0	9	2		
1	0	9	4	4	3
1	0	9	4		
1 1	0	9	24 6	4	4
ו 1	0	9 a	7.		_
י 1	0	9	4	4	5
י 1	0	9	9		
1	1	0	4 0	4	6
1	1	0	1		
1	1	0	4	4	7
1	1	0	3		~
1	1	0	4	4	8
1	1	0	5		_
1	1	0	6	4	9
1	1	0	7	_	_
1	1	0	8	5	0
1	1	0	9	_	_
1	1	1	4	5	1
1	1	1		_	-
1 1	1	1	4 2	5	2
1 1	1	1 1	J.	_	~
1 1	1	1 1	45	5	3
1 1	1	1	6	_	,
1	1	1	4 7	5	4
1	1	1	8		
1	1	1	9		
1	1	2	0		

Endocrinology, 185, 151-164.

Obesity, 36, 27-34.

1048-1052.

receptors in pigs. Neuropeptides, 64, 47-60.

pleiotropic roles. Clinical Chemistry, 61, 471-482.

food preferences. Appetite, 66, 34-43.

hormonal modulation, and nutrient intake. Nutrition Reviews, 73, 83-91.

satiety. The American Journal of Clinical Nutrition, 100 (3), 532-538.

appetite: A daunting complexity. Obesity (Silver Spring), 25(Suppl 1), S8-S16.

1121

19

The nature and correlates of parents' attributions and self-efficacy beliefs about preschool children's

overexpression of neuromedin U promotes leanness and hypophagia in mice. Journal of

Mechanisms and Role as a Food Flavor. BioMed Research International, 2015, 189402.

Kurihara, K. (2015). Umami the Fifth Basic Taste: History of Studies on Receptor

Lanfer, A., Knof, K., Barba, G., Veidebaum, T., Papoutsou, S., de Henauw, S., Soós, T.,

Li, X., Niu, M., Su, J., Ma, Z., Jin, M., Qiao, W., Zhang, Y., Feng, Y., An, N., Hou, Y.,

Loper, H.B., La Sala, M., Dotson, C., Steinle, N. (2015). Taste perception, associated

MacLean, P.S., Blundell, J.E., Mennella, J.A., Batterham, R.L. (2017). Biological control of

Martinez, V.G., O'Driscoll, L. (2015). Neuromedin U: a multifunctional neuropeptide with

Masic, U., Yeomans, M.R. (2014). Umami flavor enhances appetite but also increases

Overberg, J., Hummel, T., Krude, H., Wiegand, S. (2012). Differences in taste sensitivity

Russell, C.G., Worsley, A. (2013). Why don't they like that? And can I do anything about it?

between obese and non-obese children and adolescents. Archives of Disease in Childhood, 97,

Moreno, L.A., Ahrens, W., Lissner, L. (2012). Taste preferences in association with dietary habits

and weight status in European children: results from the IDEFICS study. International Journal of

Yang, S., Chuan, S., Lei, Z. (2017). Cloning and expression patterns of neuromedin U and its

Stańska, K., Krzeski, A. (2016). The umami taste: from discovery to clinical use. Polish Journal of Otolarvngology, 70 (4), 10-15.

Stomfai, S., Ahrens, W., Bammann, K., Kova'cs, E., Mårild, S., Michels, N., Moreno, L.A., Pohlabeln, H., Siani, A., Tornaritis, M., Veidebaum, T., Molnár, D.; IDEFICS Consortium. (2011) Intra- and inter-observer reliability in anthropometric measurements in children. International Journal of Obesity (London), 35 Suppl 1, S45–51.

Suling, M., Hebestreit, A., Peplies, J., Bammann, K., Nappo, A., Eiben, G., Alvira, J.M., Verbestel, V., Kovács, E., Pitsiladis, Y.P., Veidebaum, T., Hadjigeorgiou, C., Knof, K., Ahrens, W. (2011). Design and results of the pretest of the IDEFICS study. International Journal of Obesity, 35, (Suppl 1), S30-44.

Tyrrell, V.J., Richards, G., Hofman, P., Gillies, G.F., Robinson, E., Cutfield, W.S. (2001) Foot-to-foot bioelectrical impedance analysis: a valuable tool for the measurement of body composition in children. International Journal of Obesity and Related Metabolic Disorders, 25, 273-278.

Törnwall, O., Silventoinen, K., Hiekkalinna, T., Perola, M., Tuorila, H., Kaprio, J. (2014). Identifying flavor preference subgroups. Genetic basis and related eating behavior traits. Appetite, 75, 1-10.

Wardle, J., Guthrie, C., Sanderson, S., Birch, L., Plomin, R. (2001). Food and activity preferences in children of lean and obese parents. International Journal of Obesity and Related Metabolic Disorders, 25, 971-977.

1181 1182 ¹¹⁸³ 4**81** 1184 1185 1186⁴⁸² 1187 1188**483** 1189 1190 Variables 1191 1192 Age (years; mean±SD) 1193 1194 Males (n, %) 1195 Body Mass Index (mean±SD) 1196 1197 BMI *z*-score (mean±SD) 1198 1199 Weight (kg; mean±SD) 1200 Waist circumference (cm; mean±SD) 1201 1202 Hip Circumference (cm; mean±SD) 1203 Waist to hip (mean±SD) 1204

Table 1. Anthropometric characteristics of N = 578 children with genotype and food preferences or taste threshold data available.

Mean±SD,

n (%)

 7.5 ± 0.8

 16.8 ± 2.7

 0.47 ± 1.17

 27.3 ± 6.1

 57.0 ± 7.0

 67.1 ± 6.7

 0.85 ± 0.05

310 (53.6%)

Ν

578

578

578

578

578

578

577

577

Skinfolds (sum of tricipital and subscapular, mm; mean±SD)	570	19.1 ±8.1
Fat mass (kg; mean±SD)	569	8.1 ±3.8
Overweight+obese	578	135 (23.4%)
Obese	578	49 (8.5%)
Children preferring each modified food versus basic recipe		
Fat (n,%)	460	258 (56.1%)
Salt (n,%)	466	297 (63.7%)
Umami (n,%)	461	154 (33.4%)
Sweet (n,%)	514	300 (58.4%)
Flavour (n,%)	518	304 (58.7%)
Taste detection thresholds		
Sweet (sucrose 8.8–46.7 mmol/l; mean±SD)	517	19.9 ± 11.1
Salty (sodium chloride 3.4–27.4 mmol/l; mean±SD)	522	12.9 ±7.2
Bitter (caffeine 0.26–1.3 mmol/l; mean±SD)	521	0.88 ± 0.49
Umami (MSG 0.6–9.5 mmol/l; mean±SD)	516	4.2 ±3.1

Table 2a. Allele frequencies and Hardy-Weinberg equilibrium of the Neuromedin U (NMU) single nucleotide polymorphisms (SNPs; n = 578 with

at least one SNP successfully genotyped).

rs6827359 rs12500837 rs9999653

Т

Т

С

С

Т

SNP	Major:minor allele	Ν	Homozygous (major allele)	Heterozygous	Homozygous (minor allele)	HWE* (<i>p</i>)	MAF* (%)	CEU (%)
rs6827359	T*:C	576	161 (27.9%)	268 (46.5%)	147(25.5%)	0.11	49	40
rs12500837	T*:C	577	323 (56.0%)	216(37.4%)	38 (6.6%)	0.91	24	21
rs9999653	C:T*	574	132 (23.0%)	264 (46.0%)	178(31.4%)	0.42	54	49

Table 2b. Haplotype frequencies (n = 578 with at least one SNP successfully genotyped).

С

Т

С

Т

Т

Freq (%)

43.2%

7.9%

2.7%

22.6%

23.6%

*Hardy-Weinberg Equilibrium (HWE) and Minor Allele Frequency (MAF) were checked on the whole sample of 4649 subjects genotyped;

rs9999653T allele is considered the minor allele based on population frequencies; CEU=Utah Residents (Caucasians) with Northern and Western 125**9**90

European Ancestry 126491

Т

Т

С

С

С

1262 126**3-92**

1256 1257 1257

1258

1260

1240

124**4**85 1242

1243 486 1244

1245 1246**87**

1264

1265493

1266

1267

1268 1269

1270 1271

1272 1273 1274

1275 127**6 94**

1277

1278

1279

Table 3. Odds ratios (OR) for preferring specific modified food to basic recipe, in children carrying genetic variants versus wild-type (codominant

model, OR per minor allele).

		Genotypes OR (95%CI)		Haplotypes OR (95%CI)				
Food preferences	rs6827359 (C)	rs12500837 (C)	rs9999653 (T)	H2 (CCT)	H4 (CTT)	H8 (TTT)	H7 (TTC)°	
Fat	1.04 (0.79-1.36)	0.91 (0.67-1.25)	1.07 (0.82-1.40)	0.98 (0.70-1.38)	1.10 (0.77-1.56)	0.94 (0.57-1.57)	1.00 (0.76-1.31)	
Salt	1.07 (0.81-1.40)	0.98 (0.71-1.35)	1.11 (0.84-1.46)	1.06 (0.74-1.51)	1.15 (0.81-1.64)	1.04 (0.62-1.73)	0.93 (0.71-1.22)	
Sweet	1.11 (0.87-1.42)	0.95 (0.71-1.26)	1.16 (0.91-1.49)	1.04 (0.75-1.44)	1.24 (0.90-1.70)	1.21 (0.77-1.89)	0.88 (0.68-1.13)	
Flavour	0.93 (0.73-1.20)	0.90 (0.67-1.20)	0.89 (0.69-1.15)	0.85 (0.61-1.18)	0.98 (0.70-1.36)	0.94 (0.59-1.51)	1.08 (0.83-1.41)	
Umami	1.61 (1.20-2.17)*	1.34 (0.96-1.87)	1.59 (1.18-2.13)*	1.63 (1.11-2.40)	1.70 (1.16-2.50)	1.38 (0.78-2.42)	0.42 (0.27-0.66) ^{*§}	
W/M vsW/W†	1.17 (0.69-1.97)	1.26 (0.80-1.96)	0.94 (0.54-1.64)	1.56 (0.96-2.53)	1.29 (0.78-2.11)	1.50 (0.76-2.95)	0.42 (0.26-0.68)*	
$M/M vsW/W^{\dagger}$	2.57 (1.43-4.61)*	1.98 (0.87-4.50)	2.31 (1.29-4.15)*	2.92 (1.17-7.33)	4.78 (1.86-12.30)*	1.00 (0.18-5.70)	0.43 (0.24-0.78)	

1306**499** Bold: nominally significant associations; *significant also after FDR correction, including rare haplotypes (not shown); § results for dominant

model °all other haplotypes as reference; †Genotype or haplotype contrasts: for genotype analysis, heterozygotes or homozygotes for variant alleles **500**

(M) vs homozygotes for wild-type allele (W, ref); for haplotype analysis, subjects with 1 (w/M) or two (M/M) copies of a specific haplotypes versus

subjects with the most frequent haplotype (TTC). Analyses adjusted for age, sex, country

495 1283

496 1285

1287 1287

- **503**

Table 4. Differences in detection thresholds for specific tastes, in children carrying genetic variants versus wild-type (codominant model, threshold

change per minor allele).

30 31 32 33			Genotypes (beta±SE, <i>p</i>)		Haplotypes (beta±SE, p)				
34 35	Taste thresholds	rs6827359 (C)	rs12500837 (C)	rs9999653 (T)	H2 (CCT)	H4 (CTT)	H8 (TTT)	H7 (TTC)°	
36 37	Sweet	-0.34±0.65 (0.60)	-0.03±0.75 (0.97)	-0.90±0.64 (0.16)	-0.59±0.83 (0.48)	-0.79±0.84 (0.35)	-1.57±1.31 (0.23)	0.74±0.65 (0.26)	
38	Salty	0.37±0.42 (0.38)	0.59±0.49(0.23)	-0.18±0.42 (0.66)	0.35±0.54 (0.52)	-0.15±0.55 (0.78)	-1.57±0.84 (0.06)	0.07±0.42 (0.87)	
39 40	Bitter	-0.02±0.03 (0.51)	0.02±0.03 (0.63)	-0.02±0.02 (0.52)	-0.004±0.04 (0.92)	-0.03±0.037 (0.44)	0.06±0.06 (0.33)	0.001±0.03 (0.97)	
+0 41	Umami	-0.08±0.18 (0.66)	0.12±0.22 (0.59)	-0.14±0.19 (0.46)	0.002±0.24 (0.99)	-0.24±0.24 (0.32)	-0.08±0.38 (0.83)	0.10±0.19 (0.61)	

°All other haplotypes as reference. Analysis adjusted for age, sex and country. Lower detection threshold values indicate higher sensitivity

for a specific tastant.

Table 5. Associations between anthropometric variables and umami or NMU variants in the IDEFICS children population.

	N	N Model 1 Model 2					
Anthropometric	N	Umami preferences	Umami preferences	H2/H2	H4/H4	H8/H8	
BMI z-score (beta \pm SE, p)	456	-0.25±0.12 (0.034)*°	-0.23±0.12 (0.043)°	-0.12±0.26 (0.64)	-0.15±0.23 (0.52)	0.22±0.60 (0.71)	
Waist <i>z</i> -score (beta \pm SE, <i>p</i>)	456	-0.16±0.19 (0.42)	-0.12±0.19 (0.53)	-0.11±0.28 (0.69)	0.29±0.29 (0.32)	0.03±0.82 (0.97)	
Arm circumference (beta \pm SE, p)	450	-0.63±0.25 (0.011)*	-0.58±0.23 (0.011)*	-0.26±0.52 (0.61)	-0.41±0.44 (0.36)	0.61±1.34 (0.65)	
Skinfolds [§] (beta±SE, <i>p</i>)	450	-1.75±0.78 (0.025)*	-1.71±0.68 (0.012)*	-0.53±1.49 (0.72)	0.05±1.45 (0.98)	-2.18±1.92 (0.26)	
Fat mass (beta \pm SE, p)	453	-0.93±0.37 (0.012)*	-0.85±0.34 (0.012)*	-0.21±0.77 (0.78)	-0.74±0.61 (0.23)	0.98±2.08 (0.64)	
Overweight/obesity (OR, 95%CI)	456	0.70 (0.42-1.17)	0.72 (0.44-1.19)	1.09 (0.40-2.98)	0.80 (0.29-2.16)	0.96 (0.09-10.66)	
		·					

Model 1: age, sex and country; model 2: all in model 1 plus haplotypes. Bold: nominally significant associations; *significant also after FDR correction; § sum of tricipital and subscapular skinfolds; ° This is equal to -6.1±3.0 (beta±SE) BMI percentiles of the European population

distribution (extended definition, Cole et al. 2012) Analysis adjusted for age, sex, country

¹³⁶⁴511

∮13

514

Supplementary Table 1. Associations between Neuromedin U genotypes and food preferences in the IDEFICS children population.

			Genotypes OR (95%CI)		Haplotypes OR (95%CI)				
Food preferences	Genotype or haplotype contrast†	rs6827359 (C)	rs12500837 (C)	rs9999653 (T)	H2 (CCT)	H4 (CTT)	H8 (TTT)	H7 (TTC)°	
Fat	W/M vsW/W	1.06 (0.67-1.68)	0.83 (0.55-1.25)	0.84 (0.55-1.25)	0.91 (0.59-1.40)	1.04 (0.67-1.61)	0.81 (0.44-1.49)	0.88 (0.57-1.36)	
	M/M vsW/W	1.07 (0.63-1.84)	0.98 (0.44-2.19)	1.13 (0.66-1.92)	1.15 (0.50-2.67)	1.35 (0.52-3.49)	1.84 (0.34-9.97)	1.03 (0.59-1.79)	
Salt	W/M vsW/W	1.21 (0.76-1.93)	1.05 (0.69-1.60)	1.22 (0.74-2.02)	1.14 (0.73-1.80)	1.23 (0.78-1.94)	0.87 (0.49-1.56)	0.97 (0.62-1.54)	
	M/M vsW/W	1.12 (0.65-1.94)	0.85 (0.39-1.88)	1.24 (0.72-2.13)	0.96 (0.40-2.30)	1.15 (0.50-2.65)	2.99 (0.28-32.52)	0.85 (0.49-1.47)	
Sweet	W/M vsW/W	1.06 (0.69-1.63)	1.00 (0.68-1.46)	1.11 (0.70-1.77)	1.03 (0.69-1.54)	1.21 (0.81-1.82)	1.13 (0.66-1.95)	0.84 (0.56-1.25)	
	M/M vsW/W	1.24 (0.75-2.04)	0.82 (0.40-1.68)	1.34 (0.81-2.20)	1.11 (0.48-2.57)	1.59 (0.71-3.56)	1.87 (0.47-7.41)	0.79 (0.47-1.32)	
Flavour	W/M vsW/W	1.26 (0.82-1.96)	0.87 (0.59-1.29)	1.20 (0.74-1.93)	0.77 (0.51-1.16)	0.93 (0.61-1.42)	0.94 (0.56-1.59)	1.42 (0.94-2.13)	
	M/M vsW/W	0.86 (0.52-1.42)	0.84 (0.41-1.75)	0.83 (0.50-1.37)	0.89 (0.38-2.08)	1.07 (0.47-2.41)	0.88 (0.16-4.80)	1.08 (0.64-1.84)	
Umami	W/M vsW/W	1.17 (0.69-1.97)	1.26 (0.80-1.96)	0.94 (0.54-1.64)	1.56 (0.96-2.53)	1.29 (0.78-2.11)	1.50 (0.76-2.95)	0.42 (0.26-0.68)*	
	M/M vsW/W	2.57 (1.43-4.61)*	1.98 (0.87-4.50)	2.31 (1.29-4.15)*	2.92 (1.17-7.33)	4.78 (1.86-12.30)*	* 1.00 (0.18-5.70)	0.43 (0.24-0.78)	

Bold: nominally significant associations; *significant also after FDR correction, including rare haplotypes (not shown); °all other haplotypes as reference; † for genotype analysis, heterozygotes or homozygotes for variant alleles (M) vs homozygotes for wild-type allele (W, ref); for haplotype analysis, subjects with 1 (w/M) or two (M/M) copies of a specific haplotypes versus subjects with the most frequent haplotype (TTC). Analyses adjusted for age, sex, country.

Supplementary Table 2. Associations between Neuromedin U genotypes and taste thresholds in the IDEFICS children population.

		Genotypes (beta±SE, p)			Haplotypes (beta±SE, p)			
Taste	Genotype or haplotype contrast ⁺	rs6827359 (C)	rs12500837 (C)	rs9999653 (T)	H2 (CCT)	H4 (CTT)	H8 (TTT)	H7 (TTC)°
Sweet	W/M vsW/W	-0.35±1.11 (0.75)	-0.08±0.99 (0.93)	-1.43±1.17 (0.22)	-1.01±1.05 (0.34)	-0.83±1.02 (0.41)	-1.79±1.32 (0.18)	0.69±0.99 (0.49)
	M/M vsW/W	-0.68±1.30 (0.60)	0.03±1.87 (0.99)	-1.83±1.29 (0.16)	$-0.28\pm2.09(0.89)$	-1.46±2.06 (0.48)	-1.97±1.99 (0.32)	1.48±1.33 (0.26)
Salt	W/M vsW/W	1.12±0.72 (0.12)	1.24±0.64 (0.054)	0.24±0.77 (0.75)	0.68±0.69 (0.32)	-0.10±0.70 (0.88)	-1.51±0.82 (0.07)	0.70±0.67 (0.29)
	M/M vsW/W	0.67±0.84 (0.43)	0.04±1.22 (0.97)	-0.34±0.84 (0.69)	0.00±1.24 (1.00)	-0.41±1.30 (0.75)	-3.25±1.68 (0.053)	0.02±0.86 (0.98)
Bitter	W/M vsW/W	-0.01±0.05 (0.85)	0.03±0.04 (0.53)	-0.01±0.05 (0.84)	0.01±0.05 (0.80)	-0.02±0.05 (0.59)	0.01±0.06 (0.89)	-0.01±0.05 (0.90)
	M/M vsW/W	-0.04±0.06 (0.50)	0.01±0.08 (0.89)	-0.04±0.06 (0.53)	$-0.03\pm0.09(0.75)$	-0.07±0.08 (0.37)	0.27±0.18 (0.13)	0.00±0.06 (0.95)
Umami	W/M vsW/W	-0.50±0.32 (0.12)	0.14±0.29 (0.61)	-0.43±0.34 (0.20)	0.01±0.31 (0.97)	-0.32±0.31 (0.30)	-0.09±0.46 (0.85)	-0.35±0.30 (0.25)
	M/M vsW/W	-0.13±0.38 (0.74)	0.19±0.54 (0.73)	-0.29±0.37 (0.43)	0.00±0.57 (0.99)	-0.34±0.49 (0.49)	-0.22±1.17 (0.85)	0.28±0.39 (0.47)

 $^{\circ}$ All other haplotypes as reference; \dagger for genotype analysis, heterozygotes or homozygotes for variant alleles (M) vs homozygotes for wild-type allele (W, ref); for haplotype analysis, subjects with 1 (w/M) or two (M/M) copies of a specific haplotypes versus subjects with the most frequent haplotype (TTC). Analyses adjusted for age, sex, country. Lower detection threshold values indicate higher sensitivity for a specific tastant.