

Tail Asymptotics of an Infinitely Divisible Space-time Model with Convolution Equivalent Lévy Measure

Stehr, Mads ; Rønn-Nielsen, Anders

Document Version
Accepted author manuscript

Published in:
Journal of Applied Probability

DOI:
[10.1017/jpr.2020.73](https://doi.org/10.1017/jpr.2020.73)

Publication date:
2021

License
Unspecified

Citation for published version (APA):
Stehr, M., & Rønn-Nielsen, A. (2021). Tail Asymptotics of an Infinitely Divisible Space-time Model with Convolution Equivalent Lévy Measure. *Journal of Applied Probability*, 58(1), 42-67.
<https://doi.org/10.1017/jpr.2020.73>

[Link to publication in CBS Research Portal](#)

General rights

Copyright and moral rights for the publications made accessible in the public portal are retained by the authors and/or other copyright owners and it is a condition of accessing publications that users recognise and abide by the legal requirements associated with these rights.

Take down policy

If you believe that this document breaches copyright please contact us (research.lib@cbs.dk) providing details, and we will remove access to the work immediately and investigate your claim.

Download date: 08. Dec. 2023



SUPPLEMENTARY MATERIAL: TAIL ASYMPTOTICS OF AN INFINITELY DIVISIBLE SPACE-TIME MODEL WITH CONVOLUTION EQUIVALENT LÉVY MEASURE

MADS STEHR,* Aarhus University

ANDERS RØNN-NIELSEN,** Copenhagen Business School

SM1. Proofs of Section 3

Proof of Lemma 3.1. For sufficiently large x we find that

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbb{P}(Z\phi(U, S) > x) &= \frac{1}{\nu(A)} F\left(\left\{(u, s, z) \in \mathbb{R}^d \times \mathbb{R} \times \mathbb{R}_+ : z\phi(u, s) > x\right\}\right) \\ &= \frac{1}{\nu(A)} \int_{B' \times T'} L\left(\frac{x}{c}\right) \exp\left(-\beta \frac{x}{c}\right) m(du, ds) \\ &\quad + \frac{1}{\nu(A)} \int_{(B' \times T')^c} L\left(\frac{x}{\phi(u, s)}\right) \exp\left(-\beta \frac{x}{\phi(u, s)}\right) m(du, ds), \end{aligned}$$

where the first term equals $L(x/c) \exp(-\beta x/c)$ times the desired limit. The result follows when the latter integral is shown to be of order $o(L(x/c) \exp(-\beta x/c))$, as $x \rightarrow \infty$. Let $h(u, s; x)$ denote the integrand. For all $(u, s) \notin B' \times T'$ we have $\phi(u, s) < c$. Combined with (2.4), this implies the existence of $\gamma > 0$ and $C > 0$ such that

$$\frac{h(u, s; x)}{L(x/c) \exp(-\beta x/c)} \leq C \exp(-\gamma x)$$

for sufficiently large x . Thus, the integrand $h(u, s; x)$ is $o(L(x/c) \exp(-\beta x/c))$ at infinity. By dominated convergence, the integral is of order $o(L(x/c) \exp(-\beta x/c))$ if we can find an integrable function $g : \mathbb{R}^d \times \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ such that

$$\frac{h(u, s; x)}{L(x/c) \exp(-\beta x/c)} \leq g(u, s)$$

for all $(u, s) \in \mathbb{R}^d \times \mathbb{R}$. Returning to (2.5) we see that for all $0 < \gamma < \beta/c$ there is $C > 0$ and x_0 such that

$$\frac{h(u, s; x)}{L(x/c) \exp(-\beta x/c)} \leq C \exp\left(-x_0(\beta - \gamma c)\left(\frac{1}{\phi(u, s)} - \frac{1}{c}\right)\right) \tag{SM1.1}$$

for all $x \geq x_0$. Independent of (u, s) we can find a finite constant \tilde{C} such that the right hand side of (SM1.1) is bounded by $\tilde{C}\phi(u, s)$, which is integrable by assumption. This shows the desired order of convergence.

* Postal address: Centre for Stochastic Geometry and Advanced Bioimaging (CSGB), Department of Mathematics, Aarhus University, Ny Munkegade 118, 8000 Aarhus C, Denmark

** Postal address: Center for Statistics, Department of Finance, Copenhagen Business School, Solbjerg Pl. 3, 2000 Frederiksberg, Denmark

From [4, Lemma 2.4(i)] the distribution of $Z\phi(U, S)$ is convolution equivalent with index β/c . The integrability result follows from [4, Corollary 2.1(ii)]. \square

Corollary SM1.1. *If V^1, V^2, \dots are i.i.d. fields with distribution ν_1 , then*

$$\mathbb{E} \left[\exp \left(\beta \sup_{u \in B} \sup_{s \in [0, T]} \lambda_{u, s} \left((V_{v, t}^1 + \dots + V_{v, t}^n)_{(v, t)} \right) \right) \right] < \infty$$

for all $n \in \mathbb{N}$.

Proof. Because each V^i can be represented by $(Z^i f(|v - U^i|, t - S^i))_{(v, t) \in B' \times T'}$, the result follows from (3.8) and (3.10). \square

Proof of Theorem 3.2. We will show the claim by induction over n : We note that the case $n = 1$ follows easily from Theorem 3.1. Now assume that the result holds true for some $n \in \mathbb{N}$ and let for convenience $V^{*n} = V^1 + \dots + V^n$. Also, let $y^* = \sup_{(v, t) \in B' \times T'} y_{v, t}$. Using (3.7) and the representation $V^i = Z^i f(|v - U^i|, t - S^i)$, we find

$$\begin{aligned} & \mathbb{P}(\Psi(V_{v, t}^{*n} + V_{v, t}^{n+1} + y_{v, t}) > x) \\ & \leq \mathbb{P} \left(\sum_{i=1}^n Z^i \phi(U^i, S^i) > \frac{x - y^*}{2}, Z^{n+1} \phi(U^{n+1}, S^{n+1}) > \frac{x - y^*}{2}, \right. \\ & \quad \left. \Psi(V_{v, t}^{*n} + V_{v, t}^{n+1} + y_{v, t}) > x \right) \\ & + \mathbb{P} \left(\sum_{i=1}^n Z^i \phi(U^i, S^i) \leq \frac{x - y^*}{2}, \Psi(V_{v, t}^{*n} + V_{v, t}^{n+1} + y_{v, t}) > x \right) \\ & + \mathbb{P} \left(Z^{n+1} \phi(U^{n+1}, S^{n+1}) \leq \frac{x - y^*}{2}, \Psi(V_{v, t}^{*n} + V_{v, t}^{n+1} + y_{v, t}) > x \right) \end{aligned} \quad (\text{SM1.2})$$

The first term in (SM1.2) is bounded from above by

$$\mathbb{P} \left(\sum_{i=1}^n Z^i \phi(U^i, S^i) > \frac{x - y^*}{2} \right) \mathbb{P} \left(Z^{n+1} \phi(U^{n+1}, S^{n+1}) > \frac{x - y^*}{2} \right).$$

In Lemma 3.1 we showed that the distribution of $Z^i \phi(U^i, S^i)$ is convolution equivalent with index β/c , and hence, from [3, Corollary 2.11] and (3.9), both factors are asymptotically equivalent to $\rho_1((x/(2c), \infty))$ as $x \rightarrow \infty$. Following the proof of [2, Lemma 2] we see that the product is $o((\rho_1 * \rho_1)((x/c, \infty)))$, and as such the first term in (SM1.2) is $o(\rho_1((x/c, \infty)))$ due to the convolution equivalence of ρ_1 . By Theorem 3.1 it is of order $o(\mathbb{P}(\Psi(V_{v, t}^1) > x))$ as $x \rightarrow \infty$.

By independence, the two remaining terms in (SM1.2) divided by $\mathbb{P}(\Psi(V_{v, t}^1) > x)$ are

$$\begin{aligned} & \int_{C_x} \frac{\mathbb{P}(\Psi(\sum_{i=1}^n z^i f(|v - u^i|, t - s^i) + V_{v, t}^{n+1} + y_{v, t}) > x)}{\mathbb{P}(\Psi(V_{v, t}^1) > x)} \\ & \quad \times F_1^{\otimes n}(d(u^1, s^1, z^1; \dots; u^n, s^n, z^n)) \\ & + \int_{\tilde{C}_x} \frac{\mathbb{P}(\Psi(V_{v, t}^{*n} + z^1 f(|v - u^1|, t - s^1) + y_{v, t}) > x)}{\mathbb{P}(\Psi(V_{v, t}^1) > x)} F_1(d(u^1, s^1, z^1)), \end{aligned} \quad (\text{SM1.3})$$

where $F_1^{\otimes n}$ is the n -fold product measure of F_1 and

$$C_x = \left\{ (u^1, s^1, z^1; \dots; u^n, s^n, z^n) : \sum_{i=1}^n z^i \phi(u^i, s^i) \leq \frac{x - y^*}{2} \right\},$$

$$\tilde{C}_x = \left\{ (u^1, s^1, z^1) : z^1 \phi(u^1, s^1) \leq \frac{x - y^*}{2} \right\}.$$

Above we used the representation $V^i = Z^i f(|v - U^i|, t - S^i)$ again. By Theorem 3.1 and the induction assumption, the integrands of (SM1.3) have the following limits as $x \rightarrow \infty$,

$$\begin{aligned} & f_1(u^1, s^1, z^1; \dots; u^n, s^n, z^n) \\ &= \frac{\int_B \int_0^T \exp(\beta \lambda_{u,s} (\sum_{i=1}^n z^i f(|v - u^i|, t - s^i) + y_{v,t})) \, ds du}{m(B \times [0, T])}, \\ & f_2(u^1, s^1, z^1) \\ &= \frac{n \int_B \int_0^T \mathbb{E} [\exp(\beta \lambda_{u,s} (V_{v,t}^1 + \dots + V_{v,t}^{n-1} + z^1 f(|v - u^1|, t - s^1) + y_{v,t}))] \, ds du}{m(B \times [0, T])}, \end{aligned}$$

respectively. When integrated with respect to the relevant measures we find

$$\begin{aligned} & \int f_1(u^1, s^1, z^1; \dots; u^n, s^n, z^n) F_1^{\otimes n}(\mathrm{d}(u^1, s^1, z^1; \dots; u^n, s^n, z^n)) \\ & \quad + \int f_2(u^1, s^1, z^1) F_1(\mathrm{d}(u^1, s^1, z^1)) \\ &= \frac{n+1}{m(B \times [0, T])} \int_B \int_0^T \mathbb{E} [\exp(\beta \lambda_{u,s} (V_{v,t}^1 + \dots + V_{v,t}^n + y_{v,t}))] \, ds du, \end{aligned}$$

which is the desired expression. To show convergence of the integrals in (SM1.3), using Fatou's lemma, it suffices to find integrable functions $g_1(u^1, s^1, z^1; \dots; u^n, s^n, z^n; x)$ and $g_2(u^1, s^1, z^1; x)$ that are upper bounds of the integrands such that their limits exist when $x \rightarrow \infty$ and such that

$$\begin{aligned} & \int g_1(u^1, s^1, z^1; \dots; u^n, s^n, z^n; x) F_1^{\otimes n}(\mathrm{d}(u^1, s^1, z^1; \dots; u^n, s^n, z^n)) \\ & \quad + \int g_2(u^1, s^1, z^1; x) F_1(\mathrm{d}(u^1, s^1, z^1)) \\ & \rightarrow \int \lim_{x \rightarrow \infty} g_1(u^1, s^1, z^1; \dots; u^n, s^n, z^n; x) F_1^{\otimes n}(\mathrm{d}(u^1, s^1, z^1; \dots; u^n, s^n, z^n)) \\ & \quad + \int \lim_{x \rightarrow \infty} g_2(u^1, s^1, z^1; x) F_1(\mathrm{d}(u^1, s^1, z^1)) \end{aligned}$$

as $x \rightarrow \infty$. Using (3.7) and properties of Ψ , we can choose the functions

$$g_1(u^1, s^1, z^1; \dots; u^n, s^n, z^n; x) = \mathbf{1}_{C_x} \frac{\mathbb{P}(Z^1 \phi(U^1, Z^1) > x - y^* - \sum_{i=1}^n z^i \phi(u^i, s^i))}{\mathbb{P}(\Psi(V_{v,t}^1) > x)}$$

and

$$g_2(u^1, s^1, z^1; x) = \mathbf{1}_{\tilde{C}_x} \frac{\mathbb{P}(\sum_{i=1}^n Z^i \phi(U^i, Z^i) > x - y^* - z^1 \phi(u^1, s^1))}{\mathbb{P}(\Psi(V_{v,t}^1) > x)}.$$

From Theorem 3.1 and (3.9) we find that

$$\mathbb{P}(Z^1\phi(U^1, S^1) > x) \sim \frac{m(B' \times T')}{m(B \times [0, T])} \mathbb{P}(\Psi(V_{v,t}^1) > x) \quad (\text{SM1.4})$$

as $x \rightarrow \infty$. The fact that the distribution of $Z^1\phi(U^1, S^1)$ is convolution equivalent and in particular has an exponential tail implies

$$g_1(u^1, s^1, z^1; \dots; u^n, s^n, z^n; x) \rightarrow \frac{m(B' \times T')}{m(B \times [0, T])} \exp\left(\frac{\beta}{c} \left(y^* + \sum_{i=1}^n z^i \phi(u^i, s^i)\right)\right)$$

as $x \rightarrow \infty$. Similarly, (SM1.4) and an application of [3, Corollary 2.11] gives

$$\begin{aligned} & g_2(u^1, s^1, z^1; x) \\ & \rightarrow \frac{m(B' \times T')}{m(B \times [0, T])} n \exp\left(\frac{\beta}{c} (y^* + z^1 \phi(u^1, s^1))\right) \left(\mathbb{E} \exp\left(\frac{\beta}{c} Z^1 \phi(U^1, S^1)\right)\right)^{n-1} \end{aligned}$$

as $x \rightarrow \infty$, and we conclude that

$$\begin{aligned} & \int \lim_{x \rightarrow \infty} g_1(u^1, s^1, z^1; \dots; u^n, s^n, z^n; x) F_1^{\otimes n}(d(u^1, s^1, z^1; \dots; u^n, s^n, z^n)) \\ & \quad + \int \lim_{x \rightarrow \infty} g_2(u^1, s^1, z^1; x) F_1(d(u^1, s^1, z^1)) \\ & = \frac{m(B' \times T')}{m(B \times [0, T])} (n+1) \exp(\beta y^*/c) \left(\mathbb{E} \exp\left(\frac{\beta}{c} Z^1 \phi(U^1, S^1)\right)\right)^n. \quad (\text{SM1.5}) \end{aligned}$$

For notational convenience, we let μ denote the distribution of $Z^i\phi(U^i, S^i)$. Then, again by [3, Corollary 2.11] and (SM1.4), (SM1.5) equals

$$\lim_{x \rightarrow \infty} \frac{m(B' \times T')}{m(B \times [0, T])} \frac{\mu^{*(n+1)}((x - y^*, \infty))}{\mu((x, \infty))} = \lim_{x \rightarrow \infty} \frac{\mu^{*(n+1)}((x - y^*, \infty))}{\mathbb{P}(\Psi(V_{v,t}^1) > x)}. \quad (\text{SM1.6})$$

Furthermore, we see

$$\begin{aligned} & \mathbb{P}(\Psi(V_{v,t}^1) > x) \left(\int g_1(z^1; \dots; z^n; x) \mu^{\otimes n}(dz^1; \dots; z^n) + \int g_2(z; x) \mu(dz) \right) \\ & = \int_0^{(x-y^*)/2} \mu((x - y^* - z, \infty)) \mu^{*n}(dz) + \int_0^{(x-y^*)/2} \mu^{*n}((x - y^* - z, \infty)) \mu(dz). \end{aligned}$$

Since, in particular, the tails of μ and μ^{*n} are exponential with index β/c , we see from [2, Lemma 2] that the sum of integrals is asymptotically equivalent to $\mu^{*(n+1)}((x - y^*, \infty))$. Returning to (SM1.6) concludes the proof. \square

Before proving the theorem on the extremal behaviour of X^1 , we need the following lemma for a dominated convergence argument.

Lemma SM1.1. *Let V^1, V^2, \dots be i.i.d. fields with distribution ν_1 , and let (U, S, Z) be distributed according to F_1 . There exist a constant K such that*

$$\mathbb{P}(\Psi(V_{v,t}^1 + \dots + V_{v,t}^n) > x) \leq K^n \mathbb{P}(Z\phi(U, S) > x)$$

for all $n \in \mathbb{N}$ and all $x \geq 0$.

Proof. By Lemma 3.1 the distribution of $Z\phi(U, S)$ is convolution equivalent, and it follows from [3, Lemma 2.8] that there is a constant K such that

$$\mathbb{P}\left(\sum_{i=1}^n Z^i\phi(U^i, S^i) > x\right) \leq K^n \mathbb{P}(Z\phi(U, S) > x),$$

for i.i.d. variables $(U^1, S^1, Z^1), (U^2, S^2, Z^2), \dots$ with distribution F_1 . The result follows directly from (3.7). \square

Proof of Theorem 3.3. From (3.8) and the representation $V^i = (Z^i f(|v - U^i|, t - S^i))_{(v,t)}$, we see that

$$\mathbb{E}\left[\exp(\beta\lambda_{u,s}(X_{v,t}^1))\right] \leq \exp\left(\nu(A)\left(\mathbb{E}\left[\exp\left(\frac{\beta}{c}Z\phi(U, S)\right)\right] - 1\right)\right).$$

The first claim now follows from (3.10).

For the limit result, we find by independence and Lemma SM1.1,

$$\begin{aligned} & \mathbb{P}(\Psi(X_{v,t}^1 + y_{v,t}) > x) \\ &= e^{-\nu(A)} \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{\nu(A)^n}{n!} \mathbb{P}(\Psi(V_{v,t}^1 + \dots + V_{v,t}^n + y_{v,t}) > x) \\ &\leq \mathbb{P}(Z\phi(U, S) > x - y^*) e^{-\nu(A)} \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{\nu(A)^n K^n}{n!}, \end{aligned}$$

where $y^* = \sup_{(v,t)} y_{v,t}$ and $e^{-\nu(A)} \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{\nu(A)^n K^n}{n!} < \infty$. With the convention that $V_{v,t}^1 + \dots + V_{v,t}^{n-1} = 0$ for $n = 1$, by dominated convergence, Theorems 3.1 and 3.2 and Lemma 3.1 yield

$$\begin{aligned} & \lim_{x \rightarrow \infty} \frac{\mathbb{P}(\Psi(X_{v,t}^1 + y_{v,t}) > x)}{L(x/c) \exp(-\beta x/c)} \\ &= \frac{n}{\nu(A)} e^{-\nu(A)} \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{\nu(A)^n}{n!} \int_B \int_0^T \mathbb{E}\left[e^{\beta\lambda_{u,s}(V_{v,t}^1 + \dots + V_{v,t}^{n-1} + y_{v,t})}\right] ds du \\ &= e^{-\nu(A)} \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \frac{\nu(A)^n}{n!} \int_B \int_0^T \mathbb{E}\left[e^{\beta\lambda_{u,s}(V_{v,t}^1 + \dots + V_{v,t}^n + y_{v,t})}\right] ds du \\ &= \int_B \int_0^T \mathbb{E}\left[e^{\beta\lambda_{u,s}(X_{v,t}^1 + y_{v,t})}\right] ds du. \end{aligned}$$

This concludes the proof. \square

Proof of Lemma 3.2. First we show that

$$\mathbb{E} \exp(\gamma \sup_{(v,t) \in B' \times T'} X_{v,t}^2) < \infty \quad (\text{SM1.7})$$

for all $\gamma > 0$. Applying arguments as in Section 2, we write X^2 as the independent sum $X_{v,t}^2 = Y_{v,t}^1 + Y_{v,t}^2$. Here Y^1 is a compound Poisson sum

$$Y_{v,t}^1 = \sum_{k=1}^M J_{v,t}^k$$

with finite intensity $\nu(A^c \cap D) < \infty$ and jump distribution $\nu_2 = \nu_{A^c \cap D} / \nu(A^c \cap D)$, where $D = \{z \in \mathbb{R}^{\mathbb{K}} : \inf_{(v,t) \in \mathbb{K}} z_{v,t} < -1\}$. Furthermore, Y^2 is infinitely divisible with Lévy measure $\nu_{A^c \cap D^c}$, the restriction of ν to the set $A^c \cap D^c = \{z \in \mathbb{R}^{\mathbb{K}} : \sup_{(v,t) \in \mathbb{K}} |z_{v,t}| \leq 1\}$. By arguments as before, both fields have t-càdlàg extensions to $B' \times T'$. For each k , $J_{v,t}^k \leq 0$ for all $(v,t) \in B' \times T'$ almost surely, and in particular $\mathbb{E} \exp(\gamma \sup_{(v,t) \in B' \times T'} Y_{v,t}^1) < \infty$ for all $\gamma > 0$. As $(Y_{v,t}^2)_{(v,t) \in B' \times T'}$ is t-càdlàg on the compact set $B' \times T'$, we find that $\mathbb{P}(\sup_{(v,t) \in B' \times T'} |Y_{v,t}^2| < \infty) = 1$. Since also $\nu_{A^c \cap D^c}(\{z \in \mathbb{R}^{\mathbb{K}} : \sup_{(v,t) \in \mathbb{K}} |z_{v,t}| > 1\}) = 0$, we obtain from [1, Lemma 2.1] that $\mathbb{E} \exp(\gamma \sup_{(v,t) \in B' \times T'} |Y_{v,t}^2|) < \infty$ for all $\gamma > 0$, which yields the claim (SM1.7).

Appealing to properties of $\lambda_{u,s}$ we find that

$$\lambda_{u,s}(X_{v,t}) \leq \lambda_{u,s}(X_{v,t}^1 + \sup_{(v,t) \in B' \times T'} X_{v,t}^2) = \lambda_{u,s}(X_{v,t}^1) + \frac{\sup_{(v,t) \in B' \times T'} X_{v,t}^2}{c}.$$

The assertion now follows from (SM1.7) and the first claim of Theorem 3.3. \square

Proof of Theorem 3.4. Let π be the distribution of $(X_{v,t}^2)_{(v,t) \in B' \times T'}$. Conditioning on $(X_{v,t}^2)_{(v,t) \in B' \times T'} = (y_{v,t})_{(v,t) \in B' \times T'}$ we find by independence that

$$\frac{\mathbb{P}(\Psi(X_{v,t}) > x)}{\mathbb{P}(\Psi(X_{v,t}^1) > x)} = \int \frac{\mathbb{P}(\Psi(X_{v,t}^1 + y_{v,t}) > x)}{\mathbb{P}(\Psi(X_{v,t}^1) > x)} \pi(dy) = \int f(y; x) \pi(dy)$$

with $f(y; x) = \mathbb{P}(\Psi(X_{v,t}^1 + y_{v,t}) > x) / \mathbb{P}(\Psi(X_{v,t}^1) > x)$, which, according to Theorem 3.3, satisfies

$$f(y; x) \rightarrow f(y) = \frac{\int_B \int_0^T \mathbb{E} [\exp(\beta \lambda_{u,s}(X_{v,t}^1 + y_{v,t}))] ds du}{\int_B \int_0^T \mathbb{E} [\exp(\beta \lambda_{u,s}(X_{v,t}^1))] ds du}$$

as $x \rightarrow \infty$. By another application of Theorem 3.3 and since

$$\int f(y) \pi(dy) = \frac{\int_B \int_0^T \mathbb{E} [\exp(\beta \lambda_{u,s}(X_{v,t}))] ds du}{\int_B \int_0^T \mathbb{E} [\exp(\beta \lambda_{u,s}(X_{v,t}^1))] ds du},$$

the proof is completed if we can find non-negative and integrable functions $g(y; x)$ and $g(y) = \lim_{x \rightarrow \infty} g(y; x)$ such that $f(y; x) \leq g(y; x)$ and such that

$$\int g(y; x) \pi(dy) \rightarrow \int g(y) \pi(dy)$$

as $x \rightarrow \infty$. With $y^* = \sup_{(v,t) \in B' \times T'} y_{v,t}$ we use the function

$$g(y; x) = \mathbb{P}(\Psi(X_{v,t}^1) + y^* > x) / \mathbb{P}(\Psi(X_{v,t}^1) > x)$$

which, according to properties of $\lambda_{u,s}$ and Theorem 3.3, satisfies

$$g(y; x) \rightarrow g(y) = \exp(\beta y^* / c)$$

as $x \rightarrow \infty$. From [4, Lemma 2.4(i)] and Theorem 3.3 the distribution of $\Psi(X_{v,t}^1)$ is convolution equivalent with index β/c . Now let G and H denote the distributions of

$\Psi(X_{v,t}^1)$ and $\sup_{(v,t) \in B' \times T'} X_{v,t}^2$, respectively. If $\bar{H}(x) = o(\bar{G}(x))$, $x \rightarrow \infty$, it follows from the integrability statement (SM1.7) and [4, Lemma 2.1] that

$$\begin{aligned} \int g(y; x) \pi(dy) &= \frac{\mathbb{P}(\Psi(X_{v,t}^1) + \sup_{(v,t) \in B' \times T'} X_{v,t}^2 > x)}{\mathbb{P}(\Psi(X_{v,t}^1) > x)} \\ &\rightarrow \mathbb{E} \exp\left(\frac{\beta}{c} \sup_{(v,t) \in B' \times T'} X_{v,t}^2\right) = \int g(y) \pi(dy) \end{aligned}$$

as $x \rightarrow \infty$. From (SM1.7) we find that $\lim_{x \rightarrow \infty} e^{\gamma x} \mathbb{P}(\sup_{(v,t) \in B' \times T'} X_{v,t}^2 > x) = 0$ for all $\gamma > 0$. Combined with the convolution equivalence of the distribution of $\Psi(X_{v,t}^1)$, this yields $\bar{H}(x) = o(\bar{G}(x))$ and the claim follows. \square

SM2. Proofs of Section 5

Proof of Lemma 5.2. Let $\omega \in \Omega_1^c$ and $(s_n) \subset \tilde{S}$ such that $s_n \downarrow t \in [0, S]$. For all $k \in \mathbb{N}$ there exists $N \in \mathbb{N}$ such that

$$\|\mathbf{Z}_{s_n}(\omega) - \mathbf{Z}_{s_N}(\omega)\|_\infty \leq \frac{1}{k} \quad \text{for all } n \geq N. \quad (\text{SM2.1})$$

This is seen by contradiction as follows: Assume that for any $N \in \mathbb{N}$ there exists $n \geq N$ such that

$$\|\mathbf{Z}_{s_n}(\omega) - \mathbf{Z}_{s_N}(\omega)\|_\infty > \frac{1}{k}.$$

Now fix $p \in \mathbb{N}$. By this there exist $n_0 < n_1 < n_2 < \dots < n_p$ such that

$$\|\mathbf{Z}_{s_{n_j}}(\omega) - \mathbf{Z}_{s_{n_{j-1}}}(\omega)\|_\infty > \frac{1}{k} \quad \text{for } j = 1, \dots, p$$

and we conclude that $\mathbf{Z}(\omega)$ has $\frac{1}{k}$ -oscillation p times in \tilde{S} for any p . Hence $\omega \in A_k^c$, which is a contradiction. From (SM2.1) and the fact that the metric space $(\mathcal{C}(K, \mathbb{R}), \|\cdot\|_\infty)$ is complete, we know that $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \mathbf{Z}_{s_n}(\omega)$ exists with respect to $\|\cdot\|_\infty$ as a continuous function on K . To show uniqueness of the limit, let $(t_n) \subset \tilde{S}$ be another sequence such that $t_n \downarrow t$. Then $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \mathbf{Z}_{s_n}(\omega) = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \mathbf{Z}_{t_n}(\omega)$: Let (r_n) be the union of (s_n) and (t_n) ordered such that $r_n \downarrow t$. Then similarly for any $\epsilon > 0$ there is N' such that

$$\|\mathbf{Z}_{r_n}(\omega) - \mathbf{Z}_{r_{N'}}(\omega)\|_\infty < \frac{\epsilon}{2} \quad \text{for } n \geq N'.$$

Also there is $N \in \mathbb{N}$ such that $(s_n)_{n \geq N}, (t_n)_{n \geq N} \subseteq (r_n)_{n \geq N'}$, and hence

$$\|\mathbf{Z}_{s_n}(\omega) - \mathbf{Z}_{t_n}(\omega)\|_\infty \leq \|\mathbf{Z}_{s_n}(\omega) - \mathbf{Z}_{r_{N'}}(\omega)\|_\infty + \|\mathbf{Z}_{t_n}(\omega) - \mathbf{Z}_{r_{N'}}(\omega)\|_\infty < \epsilon$$

for all $n \geq N$. Thus, the limit $\lim_{s \in \mathbb{Q}, s \downarrow t} \mathbf{Z}_s(\omega)$ exists uniquely with respect to $\|\cdot\|_\infty$. Similarly for $\lim_{s \in \mathbb{Q}, s \uparrow t} \mathbf{Z}_s(\omega)$. \square

We let

$$B(p, \epsilon, D) = \{\omega \in \Omega \mid \mathbf{Z}(\omega) \text{ has } \epsilon\text{-oscillation } p \text{ times in } D\},$$

with $D \subseteq \mathbb{Q} \cap [0, \infty)$, and

$$\alpha_\epsilon(r) = \sup\{\mathbb{P}(\|\mathbf{Z}_t\|_\infty \geq \epsilon) \mid t \in [0, r] \cap \mathbb{Q}\}.$$

Note that a direct consequence of the stochastic continuity from Lemma 5.1 is that $\alpha_\epsilon(r) \rightarrow 0$ as $r \rightarrow 0$ for all $\epsilon > 0$.

Lemma SM2.1. *Let p be a positive integer, $D = \{t_1, \dots, t_n\} \subseteq \mathbb{Q} \cap [0, \infty)$ and $u, r \in \mathbb{Q}$ such that $0 \leq u \leq t_1 < \dots < t_n \leq r$. Then $\mathbb{P}(B(p, 4\epsilon, D)) \leq (2\alpha_\epsilon(r - u))^p$.*

Proof. We will show the statement by induction in p . For this, define

$$\begin{aligned} C_k &= \{ \|\mathbf{Z}_{t_j} - \mathbf{Z}_u\|_\infty \leq 2\epsilon, j = 1, \dots, k-1, \|\mathbf{Z}_{t_k} - \mathbf{Z}_u\|_\infty > 2\epsilon \}, \\ D_k &= \{ \|\mathbf{Z}_{t_k} - \mathbf{Z}_r\|_\infty > \epsilon \} \end{aligned}$$

and note that C_1, \dots, C_n are disjoint and

$$\begin{aligned} B(1, 4\epsilon, D) &\subseteq \bigcup_{k=1}^n \{ \|\mathbf{Z}_{t_k} - \mathbf{Z}_u\|_\infty > 2\epsilon \} = \bigcup_{k=1}^n C_k \\ &= \bigcup_{k=1}^n (C_k \cap D_k^c) \cup (C_k \cap D_k) \subseteq \{ \|\mathbf{Z}_r - \mathbf{Z}_u\|_\infty \geq \epsilon \} \cup \bigcup_{k=1}^n (C_k \cap D_k). \end{aligned}$$

By the Lévy properties in Lemma 5.1 we have $\mathbb{P}(\|\mathbf{Z}_r - \mathbf{Z}_u\|_\infty \geq \epsilon) \leq \alpha_\epsilon(r - u)$ and furthermore that $\mathbb{P}(C_k \cap D_k) = \mathbb{P}(C_k)\mathbb{P}(D_k) \leq \mathbb{P}(C_k)\alpha_\epsilon(r - u)$. The fact that C_1, \dots, C_n are disjoint then implies

$$\mathbb{P}(B(1, 4\epsilon, D)) \leq \mathbb{P}(\|\mathbf{Z}_r - \mathbf{Z}_u\|_\infty \geq \epsilon) + \sum_{k=1}^n \mathbb{P}(C_k \cap D_k) \leq 2\alpha_\epsilon(r - u),$$

which is the desired expression for $p = 1$. We now assume the result to be true for arbitrary $p \in \mathbb{N}$. We define the sets

$$\begin{aligned} F_k &= \{ \omega : \mathbf{Z}(\omega) \text{ has } 4\epsilon\text{-oscillation } p \text{ times in } \{t_1, \dots, t_k\}, \\ &\quad \text{but does not have } 4\epsilon\text{-oscillation } p \text{ times in } \{t_1, \dots, t_{k-1}\} \}, \\ G_k &= \{ \omega : \mathbf{Z}(\omega) \text{ has } 4\epsilon\text{-oscillation one time in } \{t_k, \dots, t_n\} \}. \end{aligned}$$

Then F_1, \dots, F_n are disjoint, and $\mathbb{P}(F_k \cap G_k) = \mathbb{P}(F_k)\mathbb{P}(G_k)$ for all $k = 1, \dots, n$ due to the Lévy properties. Also $B(p, 4\epsilon, D) = \bigcup_{k=1}^n F_k$, and furthermore

$$B(p+1, 4\epsilon, D) = \bigcup_{k=1}^n (F_k \cap G_k)$$

with the inclusion \subseteq seen as follows: If $\omega \in B(p+1, 4\epsilon, D)$ then $\mathbf{Z}(\omega)$ has 4ϵ -oscillation $p+1$ times in some $\{t_{n_0}, \dots, t_{n_{p+1}}\} \subseteq D$ with $n_0 < n_1 < \dots < n_{p+1}$. Hence there is $k \leq n_p$ such that $\omega \in F_k$. Also $\|\mathbf{Z}_{t_{n_{p+1}}}(\omega) - \mathbf{Z}_{t_{n_p}}(\omega)\|_\infty > 4\epsilon$ and as such also $\omega \in G_k$. From the induction assumption, the case $p = 1$ and the fact that F_1, \dots, F_n are disjoint we find that

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbb{P}(B(p+1, 4\epsilon, D)) &= \sum_{k=1}^n \mathbb{P}(G_k)\mathbb{P}(F_k) \leq 2\alpha_\epsilon(r - u) \mathbb{P}\left(\bigcup_{k=1}^n F_k\right) \\ &= 2\alpha_\epsilon(r - u) \mathbb{P}(B(p, 4\epsilon, M)) \leq (2\alpha_\epsilon(r - u))^{p+1}. \end{aligned}$$

□

Proof of Lemma 5.3. To show that $\mathbb{P}(\Omega'_1) = 1$ it suffices to prove $\mathbb{P}(A_k^c) = 0$ for any fixed $k \in \mathbb{N}$. Since $\alpha_\epsilon(r) \rightarrow 0$ as $r \downarrow 0$ for any $\epsilon > 0$, we can choose $\ell \in \mathbb{N}$ such that $2\alpha_{1/(4k)}(S/\ell) < 1$. Then by continuity of \mathbb{P} we get

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbb{P}(A_k^c) &\leq \mathbb{P}(\mathbf{Z} \text{ has } \frac{1}{k}\text{-oscillation infinitely often in } \tilde{S}) \\ &\leq \sum_{j=1}^{\ell} \mathbb{P}(\mathbf{Z} \text{ has } \frac{1}{k}\text{-oscillation infinitely often in } [\frac{j-1}{\ell}S, \frac{j}{\ell}S] \cap \mathbb{Q}) \\ &= \sum_{j=1}^{\ell} \lim_{p \rightarrow \infty} \mathbb{P}(B(p, \frac{1}{k}, [\frac{j-1}{\ell}S, \frac{j}{\ell}S] \cap \mathbb{Q})). \end{aligned}$$

Now fix $j = 1, \dots, \ell$, and enumerate the elements of $[\frac{j-1}{\ell}S, \frac{j}{\ell}S] \cap \mathbb{Q}$ by $(t_m)_{m \in \mathbb{N}}$. From Lemma SM2.1 we know that

$$\mathbb{P}(B(p, \frac{1}{k}, \{t_1, \dots, t_n\})) \leq (2\alpha_{1/(4k)}(\frac{S}{\ell}))^p$$

for any $n \in \mathbb{N}$. By continuity of \mathbb{P} we see that

$$\mathbb{P}(B(p, \frac{1}{k}, [\frac{j-1}{\ell}S, \frac{j}{\ell}S] \cap \mathbb{Q})) = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \mathbb{P}(B(p, \frac{1}{k}, \{t_1, \dots, t_n\})) \leq (2\alpha_{1/(4k)}(\frac{S}{\ell}))^p$$

which tends to 0 as $p \rightarrow \infty$ since ℓ is chosen such that $2\alpha_{1/(4k)}(S/\ell) < 1$. As this holds for all $j = 1, \dots, \ell$ we conclude that $\mathbb{P}(A_k^c) = 0$. \square

Acknowledgements

This work was supported by the Centre for Stochastic Geometry and Advanced Bioimaging, funded by a grant from the Villum Foundation.

References

- [1] BRAVERMAN, M. AND SAMORODNITSKY, G. (1995). Functionals of infinitely divisible stochastic processes with exponential tails. *Stochastic Process. Appl.* **56**, 207–231.
- [2] CLINE, D. B. H. (1986). Convolution tails, product tails and domains of attraction. *Probab. Theory Related Fields* **72**, 529–557.
- [3] CLINE, D. B. H. (1987). Convolutions of distributions with exponential and subexponential tails. *J. Aust. Math. Soc.* **43**, 347–365.
- [4] PAKES, A. G. (2004). Convolution equivalence and infinite divisibility. *J. Appl. Probab.* **41**, 407–424.